

G. LARRY ENGEL (SBN 53484)
ENGEL LAW, P.C.
 12116 Horseshoe Lane
 Nevada City, CA 95959
 Phone: 415.370.5943
 Email: larry@engeladvice.com

MARK GORTON (SBN 099312)
 THOMAS G. MOUZES (SBN 099446)
BOUTIN JONES INC.
 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500
 Sacramento, CA 95814
 Phone: 916.321.4444
 Fax: 916.441.7597
 Email: mgorton@boutinjones.com

JESSICA R. MULLAN (SBN 263435)
 General Counsel
SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY
 50 Santa Rosa Avenue, Fifth Floor
 Santa Rosa, CA 95404
 Phone: 707.890.8485
 Email: jmullan@sonomacleanpower.org

Attorneys for Creditor and Party-in-Interest
SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY

*Additional Community Choice Aggregators and their counsel
 are identified on the following pages.*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re) Case Nos. 19-30088 DM (Lead Case)
) 19-30089 DM
PG&E CORPORATION)
) Chapter 11
-and-) Jointly Administered
)
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC) SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY
COMPANY) AND VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY
) ALLIANCE'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS
) CONCERNING MOTION OF AD HOC
Debtors.) COMMITTEE OF SENIOR UNSECURED
) NOTEHOLDERS TO TERMINATE THE
<input type="checkbox"/> Affects PG&E Corporation) DEBTORS' EXCLUSIVITY PERIODS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company) PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121 (d)(1) OF THE
) BANKRUPTCY CODE (Dkt. 2741)
<input type="checkbox"/> Affects both Debtors.) Date: July 24, 2019
) Time: 9:30 a.m.
* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case) Courtroom: 17
No. 19-30088 DM) Place: 450 Golden Gate Ave., 16 th Floor

) San Francisco, CA 94102
) Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali
)
) Appearance counsel: G. Larry Engel

HARRIET A. STEINER (SBN 109436)

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1700

Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone: 916.325.4000

Email: harriet.steiner@bbklaw.com

Attorney for Creditor and Party-in-Interest

VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ALLIANCE

1 Sonoma Clean Power Authority, a California joint powers authority,¹ a community choice
 2 aggregator (“CCA”) and a governmental unit (“SCPA”) and Valley Clean Energy Alliance, also a
 3 California joint powers authority and CCA (“Valley,” together with SCPA, the “CCA Parties”),
 4 reserve their right to participate in the debate expected to occur about the future course of this
 5 Chapter 11 case at the hearing on the Motion of the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured
 6 Noteholders to Terminate the Debtors’ Exclusivity Period (“Motion”). The CCA Parties request
 7 the opportunity to be heard at the hearing as may be necessary to defend its rights and to share its
 8 expert views about the best future course to a successful and appropriate end game for this case,
 9 including anything related to any competing plans.

10 The CCA Parties do not take a substantive position on the Motion here, except to say that
 11 any plan of reorganization should be confirmable and fairly pay all fire victims and other creditors
 12 in full before parties in interest are required to address such a plan. At present, the CCA Parties
 13 not part of or informed about the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders’ (“Ad Hoc
 14 Noteholders”) competing plan of reorganization process or any PG&E plan process. Similarly,
 15 the CCA Parties cannot yet tell how the Ad Hoc Noteholders’ proposed plan would affect them or
 16 other CCAs like them. In general, the CCA Parties favor the concept of confirmable/full pay
 17 competing plans of reorganization sooner rather than later, particularly given some aggressive
 18 tactics of PG&E, including in other forums, and considering recent developments in the
 19 Legislature and in Judge Alsup’s court. However, the CCA Parties may be able to take a more
 20 specific position at the hearing, once the CCA Parties have read the other parties’ filings relating
 21 to the Motion and heard the debate at the hearing.

22 We ask that the court consider this request since:

23 1. **The CCA Parties are active participants in this case and in related forums.**

24 PG&E has given the CCA Parties ample cause to prepare to defend themselves, including with

25
 26 ¹ The governmental units that are members of the SCPA joint powers authority are Cloverdale, Cotati,
 27 Petaluma, Santa Rosa, Rohnert Park, Sebastopol, Sonoma, Sonoma County (unincorporated areas),
 28 Windsor, Fort Bragg, Willits, Point Arena, and Mendocino County (unincorporated areas). (Declaration of
 Geoffrey G. Syphers, ¶ 7, Dkt. 67)

1 counters, from what they expect in PG&E's future plan of reorganization and related legislative
2 and regulatory lobbying and other maneuvers in various forums.

3 **2. The CCA Parties' knowledgeable experts can help inform the court's decision-**
4 **making.** The CCA Parties and other CCAs are staffed with experienced experts in most things
5 relevant to the electric utility business, and the CCA Parties have governmental unit allies with
6 more experts engaged in every aspect of PG&E's operations. The CCA Parties know and
7 understand PG&E as well as any party, often from lessons learned the hard way.

8 **3. The CCA Parties' knowledge of and opinions on proposals and commentary in**
9 **other forums will provide the court with important context and information.** The CCA
10 Parties have informed and experienced opinions that are worth considering regarding any relevant
11 plan proposal, process or reorganization idea. For example, many ideas for and relevant to the
12 future reorganized PG&E have been expressed in forums outside this court, including most
13 recently: (1) the attached commentary of the CPUC Public Advocates Office dated July 1, 2019,
14 related to the CPUC's safety culture Investigation; and (2) the attached July 10, 2019 Request for
15 Offender PG&E to Supply Information issued by Judge Alsup in *United States of America v.*
16 *Pacific Gas and Electric Company*, Case 3:14-cr-00175-WHA, Document 1075, as to both of
17 which the CCA Parties request judicial notice. These matters and others may become key factors
18 in the coming plan disputes. While PG&E may prefer to attempt to segregate this case from the
19 related proceedings, the CCA Parties believe that is neither wise nor necessary. The CCA Parties
20 to offer their unique and integrated perspectives as any plan of reorganization is developed, so that
21 this case can be resolved in the most cost-effective and expeditious manner possible with the most
22 just result.

23 **4. Any plan of reorganization will have significant impacts on the CCA Parties**
24 **and other CCAs.** While CCAs do not contemplate sponsoring their own competing plan for
25 various practical reasons, the CCA Parties care intensely about this topic, including what the
26 ultimate terms and conditions of any plan of reorganization will be.

27 Because the CCA Parties expect that the hearing on July 24, 2019, could be an early,
28 critical part of the process for the proper reorganization of PG&E, the CCA Parties reserve the

1 right to participate in that discussion. If the CCA Parties can usefully add important data or correct
2 erroneous contentions as they arise, they would like to be able to do so. Therefore, the CCA
3 Parties request the right to participate as the discussions become relevant to its core concerns.

4 DATED: July 16, 2019.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

5 ENGEL LAW, P.C.

6 By: /s/ G. Larry Engel
7 G. Larry Engel

8 -and-

9 BOUTIN JONES INC.
Mark Gorton

10 -and-

11 SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY
12 Jessica R. Mullan, General Counsel

13 -and-

14 VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ALLIANCE
15 Harriet A. Steiner, Attorney for Creditor and Party-in-
16 Interest
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Valley Clean Energy Alliance, a California joint powers authority¹ and a “governmental
2 unit” (as defined in Bankruptcy Code section 101), joins Sonoma Clean Power Authority and Valley
3 Clean Energy Alliance’s Reservation of Rights Concerning Motion of Ad Hoc Committee of Senior
4 Unsecured Noteholders to Terminate the Debtors’ Exclusivity Periods Pursuant to Section 1121
5 (D)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code (Dkt. 2741).

6 DATED: July 16, 2019.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By: /s/ Harriet A. Steiner
Harriet A. Steiner

*Attorneys for Creditor, VALLEY CLEAN
ENERGY ALLIANCE*

27 _____
28 ¹ The governmental units that are members of Valley Clean Energy Alliance are County of Yolo,
City of Davis and City of Woodland.

Attachment 1:
MOTION TO AMEND JUNE 18, 2019 ASSIGNED
COMMISSIONER AND ADMINISTRATIVE
LAW JUDGE'S RULING TO ALIGN IT WITH
THE SCOPE OF THE PROCEEDING

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Investigation on the
Commission's Own Motion to Determine
Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company
and PG&E Corporation's Organizational
Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety.

Investigation 15-08-019

**MOTION TO AMEND JUNE 18, 2019 ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER AND
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING TO ALIGN IT WITH THE SCOPE
OF THE PROCEEDING**

DARWIN FARRAR
Chief Counsel

Public Advocates Office
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 703-1599
E-mail: Darwin.Farrar@cpuc.ca.gov

July 1, 2019

308779880

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	3
III. DISCUSSION	5
A. THE CPCN: A PRIVILEGE CONDITIONED ON PERFORMANCE	5
B. PG&E'S PERFORMANCE - UNSAFE AND DISHONEST	5
C. PG&E'S CULTURE OF ENTITLEMENT	8
D. BANKRUPTCY'S OUTCOMES: FOUR POSSIBLE PATHS	9
1. Path 1: PG&E emerges as franchisee, unconditionally	10
2. Path 2: PG&E emerges as franchisee, subject to Commission probation	10
3. Path 3: One or more non-PG&E franchisees replaces PG&E, in whole or in part	10
4. Path 4: PG&E emerges from bankruptcy with a temporary franchise, with PG&E to be replaced as franchisee after a defined period	10
E. NECESSARY ACTIONS	11
ACTION 1 - ESTABLISH PERFORMANCE PREREQUISITES FOR POST-BANKRUPTCY FRANCHISEES, INCLUDING PG&E	11
1. Services and quality	13
2. Company characteristics	13
ACTION 2 - FOSTER THE DEVELOPMENT OF NON-PG&E ALTERNATIVES WHOSE REORGANIZATION PLANS ALIGN WITH COMMISSION PRIORITIES	15
3. Finding the candidates, causing them to compete	15
4. Avoiding negative outcomes	17
F. THE CONSEQUENCES OF INACTION: THE BANKRUPTCY COURT DISPLACES THE COMMISSION AND PRICE DISPLACES PERFORMANCE	18

IV. CONCLUSION	20
----------------------	----

THE CLOCK IS TICKING: THE COMMISSION MUST ESTABLISH ITS PRIORITIES BEFORE NON-PG&E ACQUIRERS APPEAR	20
---	----

APPENDIX I - Bankruptcy Law Does not Preempt the Commission's Decisions
on Franchisee Qualifications

APPENDIX II - Amendments to the June 18, 2019 Ruling -
Additional Questions for Parties

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Investigation on the
Commission's Own Motion to Determine
Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company
and PG&E Corporation's Organizational
Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety.

Investigation 15-08-019
(Filed August 27, 2015)

**MOTION TO AMEND JUNE 18, 2019 ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER AND
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING TO ALIGN IT WITH
THE SCOPE OF THE PROCEEDING**

I. INTRODUCTION

The California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) initiated this proceeding in August of 2015 in the wake of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation (jointly, PG&E) 2010 gas transmission pipeline explosion in San Bruno, California. The first phase of this proceeding served to evaluate PG&E's organizational culture, governance, policies, practices, and accountability metrics in relation to PG&E's record of operations, including its record of safety incidents. Phase one determined that since PG&E's 2010 San Bruno pipeline explosion, PG&E has repeatedly stated its intention to change its safety culture. The Commission noted that PG&E has made some fragmented progress in developing a safety culture but that PG&E's overall progress is uneven across its gas and electric lines of business, and that while there are many programs underway, they do not yet add up to a consistent, robust, and accountable corporate-wide safety program.¹

On December 21, 2018, the Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge issued a ruling (Scoping Ruling) identifying the issues to be addressed in the next phase of this proceeding. After setting forth a lengthy but non-exhaustive list of structural, organizational, and

¹ May 8, 2017 Scoping Memo and Ruling of Assigned Commissioner at 1-2.

managerial safety issues, the Scoping Ruling specifically notes that in order to achieve its safety and performance objectives, “the proceeding will also consider all necessary measures, including, but not limited to, a reduction of PG&E’s return on equity until any recommendations adopted by the Commission are implemented.”²

On January 29, 2019, PG&E filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. On June 18, 2019, the Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding issued a ruling (June 18, 2019 Ruling) that “establishes a process for parties to comment on proposals that may improve the safety culture of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation (PG&E).”³ The June 18, 2019 Ruling solicits comments on four proposals:

- 1) Separating PG&E into separate gas and electric utilities or selling the gas assets;
- 2) Establishing periodic review of PG&E’s Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN);
- 3) Modifying or eliminating PG&E Corp.’s holding company structure; and
- 4) Linking PG&E’s rate of return or return on equity to safety performance metrics.

The Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) applauds this step by the Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. The ruling acknowledges that the Commission has the authority to both grant and rescind the CPCN pursuant to which a utility such as PG&E is issued a franchise to serve California customers. However, the June 18, 2019 Ruling does not go far enough. In order to achieve the best result for California’s customers, all options must be on the table.

By this motion, Cal Advocates urges the Commission to take two key steps. First, the Commission should establish minimum safety and performance prerequisites for post-bankruptcy franchisees, whether or not PG&E. By establishing those prerequisites—in terms of types of companies, ownership structure, corporate governance, and performance culture, the

² December 21, 2018 Scoping Memo and Ruling of Assigned Commissioner at 9.

³ Joint Assigned Commissioner’s and Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling on Proposal to Improve the Safety Culture of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation, issued on June 18, 2019 (June 18, 2019 Ruling) at 1.

Commission will bring consumers the value they pay for. Second, the Commission should make its interest in alternatives to PG&E clear. As discussed more fully below, the June 18, 2019 Ruling's proposals on CPCN review are more meaningful if there are other potential entities who are interested in and capable of serving California customers. Treating PG&E as the "only game in town," validates its culture of entitlement. Californians deserve better. In regulation as in life, alternatives yield strength. The Commission must make its interest in considering options to best serve California's utility consumers clear.

II. BACKGROUND

Time is of the essence. If the Commission does not act now, there are only two likely outcomes. One outcome: PG&E and its creditors will produce a plan that leaves PG&E in place, unreformed, with ratepayers paying what the creditors want. The other outcome: PG&E will sell out to an acquirer, chosen solely for how much it will pay to creditors and shareholders rather than how well it will serve PG&E's customers and protect the public. Either outcome forces the Commission into a take-it-or-leave-it choice, where it is pressured by "Wall Street" to "take it" rather than foster a third way. Either approach could win approval from a bankruptcy tribunal whose legal authority does not include considering anything about PG&E's electricity and gas performance, including the safety of its operations and honesty flaws.

These two outcomes are the natural result of PG&E, its creditors, and prospective acquirers pursuing their legitimate self-interests—but without the discipline, public mindedness, and emphasis on safety, honesty, and performance that PG&E's customers deserve and that Commission action would bring. The better approach—the only approach that considers customers' interests—is for the Commission to 1) indicate now that it will decide what minimum criteria the post-bankruptcy entity—whether PG&E or a successor—must satisfy and 2) start the process of attracting alternatives to PG&E

This motion is timely and time sensitive. PG&E has already declared itself the emergent bankruptcy entity and is negotiating deals that may not take into account customer interests. After September 26, 2019, when PG&E's exclusivity period ends,⁴ any number of self-interested

⁴ The exclusivity period is the period, determined by the bankruptcy court, during which PG&E has the exclusive right to present a plan. That period is currently scheduled to last until September 26, 2019. If PG&E files a plan by

creditors, acquirers, or creditor-acquirer pairs can get the bankruptcy court's permission to offer their own plans. Those plans need clear and transparent Commission guidance, based on a public record, if they are to reflect California's priorities for service that is safe, affordable, reliable, and consistent with the state's environmental goals.

This type of Commission guidance will not interfere with the bankruptcy proceeding. While the bankruptcy court and the Commission drive in different lanes they can drive toward a common destination: a post-bankruptcy utility that provides customers with gas and electric service that is safe, affordable, reliable, and consistent with the state's environmental goals. Nothing in either bankruptcy law or public utility law puts that destination beyond our reach. No one should misunderstand, or mischaracterize this Motion as an attempt to use state law to avoid the realities of bankruptcy law. Whatever transaction is finally approved by the bankruptcy court, the associated debt will travel to whatever company or companies, PG&E or a non-PG&E entity, will serve California's customers. Because the Commission, and only the Commission, can grant to a company the right to serve California's customers, the Commission will assist, not impede, the bankruptcy process by declaring upfront the requirements that whatever franchisees emerges from bankruptcy must meet.

Ratepayers need utility investors as much as utility investors need ratepayers. This Motion signals no intent to penalize or discourage investors. Rather, it seeks to do what bankruptcy law and utility law both need to do: Give California customers the best possible performance, and provide the best performers, the compensation they deserve.

that date, they have an additional 60-day exclusivity period to attempt to seek confirmation of that plan, unless extended for cause by the bankruptcy court. However, at any time, a party can file a motion asking for termination of exclusivity such as the recent motion filed by the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders. That Motion appends as an exhibit a detailed term sheet for a proposed plan of reorganization from parties other than PG&E. See *Motion of the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders to Terminate the Debtors' Exclusive Periods Pursuant to Section 1121(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code*, filed June 25, 2019 in Bankr. Case No. 19-30088 (DM) [DE# 2741], In re PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Bankr. N.D.Cal. San Francisco Division.

III. DISCUSSION

A. The CPCN: A privilege conditioned on performance

The franchise granted by a CPCN is a government-granted privilege: the privilege to provide, free from competition, a service essential to life, at prices that provide shareholders a fair opportunity to earn a fair return on prudent, used-and-useful investments. This privilege comes with a catch. The utility must satisfy the regulator's standards for performance—at “lowest feasible cost,”⁵ using “all available cost savings opportunities.”⁶ The utility must pursue its public duties free of conflicting private interests.

The franchise's marriage of privilege and duty transcends any particular utility. The incumbent is but a temporary grantee; its position depends on performance. That performance has many elements: rates, reliability, quality, safety (for workers, residents, customers, and contractors), innovation, customer responsiveness, legal compliance, respect for the regulatory process, accountability, acceptance of responsibility, and honesty.

B. PG&E's Performance - Unsafe and Dishonest

Over this past decade, PG&E has failed to provide safe and reliable service. Not only has it caused death and destruction; it has dealt with the Commission dishonestly. Consider the following non exhaustive list of PG&E's actions:⁷

The San Bruno Explosion (2010): Eight deaths, 58 injuries, 38 homes and structures destroyed. PG&E was convicted of multiple federal crimes, including willfully failing to address known recordkeeping deficiencies, and willfully failing to identify and address threats to its pipelines. PG&E also was penalized for violating state regulations. Still on probation for its federal crimes, PG&E's recent wildfire behavior is under investigation by a federal judge.

⁵ *Potomac Electric Power Co. v. Public Service Commission*, 661 A.2d 131, 137 (D.C. 1995).

⁶ *Midwestern Gas Transmission Co. v. East. Tenn. Natural Gas Co.*, 36 FPC 61, 28 (1966), *aff'd sub nom. Midwestern Gas Transmission Co. v. Federal Power Commission*, 388 F.2d 444 (7th Cir. 1968).

⁷ This list does not include, for example, the ongoing Order Instituting Investigation (18-12-007) into PG&E's locate and mark practices, which may identify safety problems such as if there were dig-ins associated with late marked facilities.

The Kern Power Plant Demolition (2012-2013): One death, five injuries, \$5.5 million in penalties. PG&E must carry out a company-wide Corrective Action plan that included a Contractor Safety Program and an Enterprise Causal Evaluation Standard.⁸

Gas Distribution Problems (2010-2014): For multiple separate safety incidents, the Commission penalized PG&E over \$36 million. The specific failures included failure to maintain proper records, failure to take corrective actions, and not being responsive to local officials.²

The Butte Fire (2015): Two deaths, one injury, 549 homes and 372 other structures destroyed, 70,868 acres burned. The Commission's Safety and Enforcement Division (SED) fined PG&E \$8 million for failing to maintain its 12 kilovolt (kV) overhead conductors safely and properly.¹⁰

The Atlas Fire (2017): Six deaths, 783 homes or other structures destroyed, 51,624 acres burned. Alleging violations of state law, the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) has referred its investigation to the District Attorney's office.^{11, 12}

The Central LNU Complex Fires (2017):¹³ Three deaths, 1,355 homes or structures destroyed, 56,556 acres burned. CAL FIRE referred four of its fire investigations (Norrbon, Partrick, Pythian, and Adobe) to the appropriate local District Attorneys' offices for review "due to evidence of alleged violations of state law."¹⁴ CAL

⁸ D.15-07-014.

² D.16-08-020 at 2-4, 10, 51, 59-61.

¹⁰ D.16-09-055.

¹¹ See

<https://calfire.ca.gov/communications/downloads/newsreleases/2018/2017_WildfireSiege_Cause.pdf>.

¹² On June 27, 2019, the Commission opened I. 19-06-015, the Order Institution Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Maintenance, Operations, and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39E) with Respect to its Electric Facilities; and Order to Show Cause Why the Commission Should not Impose Penalties and/or Other Remedies for the Role PG&E's Electrical Facilities had in Igniting Fires in its Service Territory in 2017. See

<<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M303/K773/303773212.PDF>>

¹³ Id.

¹⁴ Id.

FIRE did not refer the Nuns fire investigation to the local District Attorney's office.

The Camp Fire (2018): 85 deaths, 18,804 homes or other structures destroyed, 153,336 acres burned. CAL FIRE forwarded its investigative report to the Butte County District Attorney's Office.¹⁵

In addition to safety, there is honesty and the public trust. PG&E has committed a series of secular sins against transparency and truth. Specifically, it has been shown, and PG&E has admitted, that it engaged in:

Obstructing Investigations: After the San Bruno disaster, PG&E attempted to mislead investigators from the National Transportation Safety Board, leading to PG&E's conviction, in addition to its substantive violations, for "intentionally and corruptly tr[ying] to influence, obstruct, or impede the Board's investigation."¹⁶

Falsifying Evidence: The Commission has gathered evidence of PG&E routinely (thousands of times) falsifying records on locate-and-mark practices.¹⁷

Lobbying in Secret: PG&E has long tried to influence Commission decisions secretly—and illegally. Due to PG&E's 2007 violations of *ex parte* communications rules,¹⁸ the Commission in 2008 required PG&E to "develop written best practices to document, control, and report on *ex parte* contacts."¹⁹ In 2015, the Commission identified improper *ex parte* communications as early as June 19, 2009, disappointingly soon after the Commission had directed PG&E to develop written best practices on *ex parte* contacts.²⁰ These contacts continued over at least a five year period from mid-2009 through mid-2014.

¹⁵ See <http://calfire.ca.gov/communications/downloads/newsreleases/2019/CampFire_Cause.pdf>

¹⁶ See <<https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndca/pr/pge-ordered-develop-compliance-and-ethics-program-part-its-sentence-engaging-criminal>>.

¹⁷ Order Instituting Investigation (I.) 18-12-007.

¹⁸ The *ex parte* communications rules determine if and how parties (such as PG&E or Cal Advocates) can communicate with decision-makers at the Commission during a formal proceeding. Decision makers include the Commissioners, their advisors, and the Administrative Law Judges. The purpose of these rules is to prevent secret communications that can affect case outcomes.

¹⁹ I. 15-11-015, Finding of Fact #1, p. 38.

²⁰ I. 15-11-015, p. 3.

PG&E's presidents, a senior vice president, two vice presidents, and at least one consultant were either violators or benefited from the violations.²¹

These facts form the foundation of the Commission's Safety Culture and Governance investigation.

Californians deserve a utility that serves competently, lawfully, and honestly. Convicted of breaking laws and obstructing justice, found at fault for death and destruction across its service territory, and now under investigation for false filings and improper communications with regulators, PG&E has not been that utility.

C. PG&E's Culture of Entitlement

Investigations, penalties, convictions, fines, and probations have not worked.²² Executive shuffling hasn't worked.²³ Reorganizations haven't worked.²⁴ Replacing and overpaying board members²⁵ won't work. PG&E is a reactor not a creator. "Driven by immediate needs," it reacts to its failures instead of creating a "comprehensive enterprise-wide approach."²⁶ PG&E's problems are—"not just one-off situations or bad luck," but problems that are "deeper and more systemic"—problems that remain unsolved.²⁷ PG&E's problems come from its culture. Because its misbehaviors go beyond safety, its safety culture problem is but a symptom of a broader culture problem. PG&E has a culture of entitlement.

²¹ I. 15-11-015, Phase 2 Joint Evidentiary Record, dated May 15, 2019, pp. I-1 to I-2.

²² Scoping Order at 5-6 (stating that "imposing penalties on PG&E did not seem to change the situation").

²³ Since 2010, PG&E has replaced four presidents (Darbee, Johns, Stavropoulos, Williams); three senior vice presidents (Botorff, Soto, Hogan); and five vice presidents (Cherry, Horner, Franke, Dasso, Lemier).

²⁴ August 22, 2018 PG&E Announces Interim Organization Structure While Conducting COO Search <<http://investor.pgecorp.com/news-events/press-releases/press-release-details/2018/PGE-Announces-Interim-Organization-Structure-While-Conducting-COO-Search/default.aspx>>.

²⁵ In 2018, the average compensation for PG&E's board members was \$257,000 per year for part-time work. PG&E Corp, *Joint Notice of 2019 Annual Meetings, Proxy Statement* at 46 (June 21, 2019).

²⁶ Scoping Order at 6, quoting Northstar Report at I-1.

²⁷ Scoping Order at 5.

A culture of entitlement cannot be solved with investigations, penalties, convictions, fines, probations, personnel changes, or press releases. These actions miss the main cause of PG&Es' entitlement culture - our policy on franchises. The utilities assume their monopoly franchises exempt them from competition in perpetuity. As decades go by, costs rise, performance slips, patterns of rule violations and lax compliance emerge and a culture of entitlement is established. The utility expects to remain the monopoly franchisee indefinitely, no matter how many felonies it commits, how many rules it breaks, or how unsafe its practices. No amount of investigations, penalties, convictions, probations, personnel changes and board replacements can fix a company with a culture of entitlement. Instead of punishing behaviors that derive from entitlement, we must change the expectations that cause the entitlement. Instead of punishing the results of failure, we must create the conditions for success.

In a competitive market, a company with PG&E's record would be long gone, left behind by workers, investors, and customers, all seeking safer, more honest places to work, invest, and buy. Treating PG&E as our only option and its CPCN granted franchise as its permanent right, validates PG&E's culture of entitlement. The Commission must make its willingness to consider alternatives clear and public.

D. Bankruptcy's outcomes: Four possible paths

A bankruptcy proceeding typically produces a plan of reorganization. Per the bankruptcy court's ruling, through September 26 of this year the exclusive proposer of a plan is PG&E. Beginning September 27, others permitted by the court may submit a plan.²⁸ At some point, a plan will gain sufficient support among creditors to receive the court's tentative approval. Where the bankrupt company is a utility, the court may grant final approval only if the plan's rate path has received Commission approval.²⁹

²⁸ See "Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d) Extending Exclusive Periods." Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088, Docket Entry 2226 (May 23, 2019).

²⁹ See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(6).

1. Path 1: PG&E emerges as franchisee, unconditionally

During or after the present period of exclusivity, PG&E could reach agreements with its creditors, present to the Court a plan with a rate path, get the bankruptcy court's conditional approval of the plan (other than the rate path), get the Commission to approve that rate path, then get the bankruptcy court's final approval. There would be no necessary change in PG&E's operational performance. Commission investigations into gas safety, wildfire performance, honesty in reporting, and other matters would continue as before.

2. Path 2: PG&E emerges as franchisee, subject to Commission probation

This path is like Path 1, but with a key difference: The Commission would require, as a condition of approving the rate path, PG&E's compliance with specific performance metrics (such as for safety and honesty), the breach of which would cause the Commission to consider penalties including revocation of PG&E's franchise—subject to the Commission selecting one or more replacement franchisees competitively.

3. Path 3: One or more non-PG&E franchisees replaces PG&E, in whole or in part

A plan may include the sale of all or a portion of PG&E. If the Commission establishes minimum prerequisites for all potential post-bankruptcy franchisees (as recommended under Action #1 above), then whatever bidder(s) emerge(s) with the bankruptcy court's approval will necessarily satisfy those prerequisites, because the bankruptcy court will not likely approve an acquirer that the Commission would reject. Only the Commission, not the bankruptcy court, can award franchises or approve transfer of control of a California utility's assets or control.

4. Path 4: PG&E emerges from bankruptcy with a temporary franchise, with PG&E to be replaced as franchisee after a defined period

This path is like Path 3, but separates the bankruptcy decision-making from the franchise decision-making. That way, the State can take the time it needs to (1) determine minimum franchise prerequisites (including defining the different services that will be subject to the franchise), and (2) run the competition to select new franchisees. The time period necessary

might not mesh well with the bankruptcy court's wish to complete its work expeditiously. But for PG&E to keep its franchise by default—merely because the Commission has insufficient time to complete its own work—would ill serve the public. At the same time, PG&E's creditors deserve to know PG&E's future. So the Commission could declare, after making the necessary legal findings, that (a) PG&E's role as franchisee will end no later than, say, two years after it emerges from bankruptcy; and (b) the Commission during that two-year period will select one or more replacements for all or part of PG&E's utility activities. The Commission would issue its decision about PG&E in time for participants in the bankruptcy process to take it into account. PG&E then would emerge from bankruptcy with a franchise that everyone understood to be temporary. Participants who wanted a chance to acquire or replace PG&E would make their bids before the Commission rather than before the bankruptcy court.

We do not yet recommend any of these paths; we describe them here to emphasize the Commission's options. As the Governor's Strike Force emphasized, all options must be on the table.³⁰ And while bankruptcy does have some potentially preemptive effects, those effects do not reach the options discussed here. For an explanation, see the Appendix I: "Bankruptcy Law Does not Preempt the Commission's Decisions on Franchisee Qualifications."

E. Necessary Actions

Action 1 - Establish performance prerequisites for post-bankruptcy franchisees, including PG&E

The franchise created by a CPCN is a privilege granted by the government, not an asset owned by the utility.³¹ As this Commission stated, in opening this investigation into PG&E's culture:

³⁰ Governor Newsom's Strike Force, Wildfires and Climate Change: California's Energy Future, Executive Summary at 4.

³¹ See *New Orleans Gas Co. v. Louisiana. Light Co.*, 115 U.S. 650, 669 (1885) (franchise "belong[s] to the government, to be granted, for the accomplishment of public objects, to whomsoever, and upon what terms it pleases"); *Bank of Augusta v. Earle*, 38 U.S. (13 Pet.) 519, 595 (1839) (franchises are "special privileges conferred by government upon individuals, and which do not belong to the citizens of the country generally of common right").

The Commission, invested by the California Constitution and the Public Utilities Code with police power to regulate public utilities, among other actions sets rates, authorizes capital investments and operating budgets, and awards franchises to companies such as PG&E. A 'franchise to operate a public utility ... is a special privilege which ... may be granted or withheld at the pleasure of the State.' Holding that franchise, PG&E must 'comply with the comprehensive regulation of its rates, services, and facilities as specified in the Public Utilities Code.' And the Commission must actively, not passively, supervise and regulate public utilities.³²

This authority underlies the June 18, 2019 Ruling's consideration of a periodic review of a utility's CPCN as a means to provide "additional incentive for the utility to do a good job."³³ In California, a utility receives its franchise "in return for" its performance.³⁴ The franchise thus is conditioned on meeting the Commission's, customers' and the public's expectations.³⁵ While the June 18, 2019 Ruling's questions about a periodic review of a utility's CPCN are consistent with the authority identified above, the Ruling does nothing to identify potential replacements for PG&E, without which a CPCN review will be limited at best. The Commission must take full advantage of its authority to issue and rescind franchises in order to address PG&E's culture of entitlement.

If the Commission wishes to provide "additional incentive for the utility to do a good job,"³⁶ it must disabuse PG&E of the notion that it is entitled to continue to operate as a franchise under its CPCN in perpetuity. For the Commission to break the culture of

³² "Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion to Determine Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Organizational Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety," Investigation 15-08-019, 2015 Cal. PUC LEXIS 539 at § 3.4 (citations omitted).

³³ June 18, 2019 Ruling at 3.

³⁴ "In return for safe and reliable natural gas service, the Commission provides a relatively exclusive franchise, recovery of all just and reasonable costs to serve customers, and a very low-risk and ample rate of return." "Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Determine Violations of Public Utilities Code Section 451, General Order 112, and Other Applicable Standards, Laws, Rules and Regulations in Connection with the San Bruno Explosion and Fire on September 9, 2010," 2012 Cal. PUC LEXIS 39.

³⁵ *Id.* at § 3.5 ("This investigation should begin with what the Commission, customers, and the public should expect from PG&E when the State awarded PG&E its franchise and approved PG&E's rates.").

³⁶ June 18, 2019 Ruling at 3.

entitlement, it must also consider alternatives to PG&E by defining the performance customers deserve, then finding the best performers. In the current context, the Commission must establish priorities that any post-bankruptcy franchisee must satisfy. Then to make those priorities realities, it must seek non-PG&E alternatives whose reorganization plans will align with those priorities. Amending the June 18, 2019 Ruling to solicit comments that will assist the Commission in establishing criteria that any post-bankruptcy franchisee must satisfy will give PG&E and other potential franchisees fair notice of what the Commission requires. As discussed below, these criteria include services and quality, and company characteristics (i.e. ownership structure, corporate governance, attitude toward quality, and attitude toward regulation and regulators).

1. Services and quality

Energy service today takes multiple forms: generation, procurement, transmission, distribution, demand aggregation, distributed energy resources, conservation services, microgrids, storage, electric vehicle infrastructure. An investor-owned utility is either the primary operator or provider of these services or has some role in administering programs that provide these services. As such, it is important that the utility's work to provide these services includes ensuring safety, reducing emissions, lowering costs, and maintaining reliability. What are a utility's standards of performance in the provision of these services?

2. Company characteristics

A provider's characteristics affect its performance. And those characteristics can complicate, or simplify, regulators' efforts to induce performance. So we must ask: What kind of companies will best provide the necessary services, cost-effectively and safely? The relevant company characteristics fall into four main categories.

- **Ownership Structure** - The Scoping Order refers to "publicly owned utility, cooperative, community choice aggregation or other models."³⁷ There are, of course, more categories and multiple variations within those categories: government ownership (municipal, regional, state); private ownership (non-profit, for-profit, and semi-profit); publicly traded and privately traded; holding company-owned and retail shareholder-owned.

³⁷ "Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling," I. 15-08-019 at 12 (Dec. 21, 2018).

Different business forms bring different strengths and weaknesses. All must satisfy these minimums: (i) no conflict between earning profit and pursuing the public interest in safety and cost, (ii) commitment to transparency, (iii) commitment to the state's clean energy goals, and (iv) respect for workers and their unions.

- **Corporate Governance** - Governance affects accountability; accountability affects performance. Who controls which decisions? Who is accountable to whom, for what types of performance? How does the company pay its people? Do compensation methods create conflicts between profit and performance, between executives' interest and customers' interest? Do the boardroom and the workforce reflect the communities the company serves?
- **Attitude Toward Quality** - Does the company aspire to excellence or does it rest on its government-protected laurels? Does it look ahead for hazards to prevent and create plans to prevent them—or does it wait for disasters to happen, then seek full credit for its response and full payment for its costs?
- **Attitude Toward Regulation and Regulators** - Each company views regulation self-interestedly. Everyone wants regulation when it protects; but not when it constrains. Does the company respect the regulatory process—especially its key features of transparency, facts, logic, and law—or does the company rely on non-factual forms of persuasion, and use non-transparent paths to persuade?

Why do these company characteristics matter? A prospective franchisee's business mix will determine whether it has internal conflicts between the utility's public service obligation and its holding company's private business priorities. Companies that mix utility and non-utility businesses have an internal conflict over scarce capital. Companies that compensate their executives based on share price or earnings have an internal conflict between shareholder interest and ratepayer interest. Companies with internal conflicts require more regulatory effort than companies without those conflicts. And regulatory efforts do not always succeed.³⁸

³⁸ See, e.g., this Commission's Decision No. 91-05-028, *supra* at 277 (“[I]f Edison's past violations of the regulatory compacts set forth in our ... decision [authorizing SCE's holding company] are any indication of what will transpire in the future, it will be increasingly difficult to ensure that inappropriate costs are not passed on to ratepayers. . . . Edison has attempted to use [that decision] to shield its activities rather than open the Commission's access to expeditious and thorough review. Such contentiousness produces increased burdens on the Commission. . . .”).

**Action 2 - Foster the development of non-PG&E alternatives
whose reorganization plans align with Commission priorities**

As noted above, if we treat PG&E as our only alternative and treat its CPCN granted franchise as its permanent right, we validate PG&E's culture of entitlement. Californians deserve better. The Commission must make its willingness to consider alternatives clear and foster the development of non-PG&E alternatives if it intends to improve utility safety and service.

3. Finding the candidates, causing them to compete

Since 1985, dozens of electric utilities have been acquired, most at substantial premiums.³⁹ From this simple fact, we know that owning a utility, or controlling a utility monopoly franchise is desirable. If California opens its doors to prospective franchisees, the competition for the customers' favor will be vigorous.⁴⁰

How do businesses find employees and manufacturers find suppliers? They search for the best, then choose the best. Rather than awarding a franchisee non-competitively or threatening to review a CPCN in the absence of any viable alternatives, California's decision-makers should do what everyone else does: Create a competition—here, a competition for the privilege of being a monopoly. “[T]he public has an obvious interest in competition, 'even

³⁹ For a list of those acquisitions through 2017, and an analysis of their regulatory approvals, see Scott Hempling, “Inconsistent with the Public Interest: FERC’s Three Decades of Deference to Electricity Consolidation,” *Energy Law Journal* (Fall 2018), available at <[https://www.eba-net.org/assets/1/6/15-233-312-Hempling_\[FINAL\]1.pling_%5bFINAL%5d1.pdf](https://www.eba-net.org/assets/1/6/15-233-312-Hempling_[FINAL]1.pling_%5bFINAL%5d1.pdf)>.

⁴⁰ Another example comes from South Carolina, where a special legislative committee in late 2018 issued a request for expressions of interest and indicative offers to acquire the state-owned utility, Santee Cooper. According to the committee’s then-consultant ICF, which ran the process, the request attracted 15 “strong and diverse” proposals: seven full purchase proposals and eight others: long-term asset management agreements, long-term power supply arrangements, and partial acquisitions. See ICF’s evaluation of February 2019, available at <<https://governor.sc.gov/sites/default/files/Documents/newsroom/ICF%20Evaluation%20of%20EOI%20Responses%20for%20Santee%20Cooper.pdf>>.

In May 2019, the South Carolina Legislature enacted legislation directing the state’s Department of Administration to conduct a full-fledged competition for acquirers and managers of Santee Cooper, as well as an evaluation of the utility’s standalone plans.

though that competition be an elimination bout.”⁴¹ Using competition to find the best performers, and having replacements ready to replace those performers, is challenging but it is the path to real performance. Granting someone a permanent monopoly, then fining, penalizing, and "incentivizing" them to improve, or juggling board membership and serially replacing executives, does not work.

The following is an illustrative six-step process to create competition for new franchisees.

Step 1. Issue Order starting the process: The Commission issues an Order announcing it will hold a competitive process for choosing one or more franchisees to serve in PG&E's territory. The Order should describe the end goal: to have Californians served by the most cost-effective, customer-responsive, safe, environmentally responsible, innovative and reliable provider or providers. The Order also should describe the steps that will follow and pose a series of questions that invite creative ideas from stakeholders and prospective bidders.

Step 2. Spread the word: The Commission, likely through an agent, creates interest in the marketplace by spreading the word about the Commission's goals, and informally contacting entities that might have an interest in serving. This outreach serves multiple purposes: stimulating interest, identifying new firms or organizations, and identifying any concerns about regulatory uncertainties that might discourage bidders from competing. Some prospective competitors might want to buy the entire company, others only some of the assets; some might want to serve the entire service territory; others only parts. Some might want to provide only monopoly services; others might want to provide both monopoly and competitive services.

Step 3. Hold a technical workshop: The Commission would hold one or more technical workshops. Using materials from stakeholder submissions and intelligence gathered through the market conversations, the Commission staff would have a dialogue with stakeholders (about what services they want from a franchisee) and prospective bidders (about what clarity they need on regulatory policy). At the technical workshop, people could ask questions of PG&E as well as Commission staff.

Step 4. Establish threshold criteria: The Commission would establish threshold criteria for eligibility to compete. Examples of requirements for eligibility (illustrative only):

- a. The company has a minimum number of years of experience providing safe, affordable, reliable energy utility services consistent with the state's environmental goals in rural and urban areas.

⁴¹ *Hecht v. Pro-Football, Inc.*, 570 F.2d 982, 991 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

- b. Demonstrated ability in meeting or exceeding the minimum federal, state, and local safety requirements.
- c. The company has a demonstrated commitment and ability to carry out the state's clean energy goals.
- d. The company has a demonstrated commitment to integrity, transparency, and honesty in its relations with the public, regulators and other stakeholders.
- e. The company has a demonstrated respect for workers and their unions.
- f. If the competitor is part of a holding company system, that holding company system is not complex, overly leveraged, or invested in businesses whose risks or strategies conflict with a utility's obligation to serve.
- g. The company satisfies Commission criteria designed to reduce the risk of horizontal or vertical market power.
- h. The company has the financial capability both to execute the purchase (paying the shareholders and paying off or taking on the debt) and to operate successfully.

Step 5. Issue formal requests for proposals: The Commission would design a formal Request for Proposals for the particular services that franchisees would provide. Competitors including PG&E could offer the entire package or a subset (although the Commission will likely want to place appropriate limits on what types of geographic areas would be carved out to avoid cherry-picking).

Step 6. Choose the franchisees: The Commission then would apply its evaluative criteria and choose the winning franchisees. Evaluative criteria could include: experience, record of customer responsiveness, record of regulatory relationships, operational record, employee relations, ethical character, creativity in developing resource plans, likely rate path, price offered, non-quantifiable benefits offered.

4. Avoiding negative outcomes

While we seek positive outcomes, we must avoid potential negative outcomes or gaming. While three such potential outcomes come to mind, more may arise in response to questions posed herein.

- a. Cherry-picking: PG&E's service territory covers diverse geographic areas and diverse members of the population. Despite this diversity, all customers within a rate class face the same electric and gas rates, just as all individuals face the same tax rates. The Commission must discourage proposals that cherry-pick lower-cost and lower-risk subregions, leaving the higher cost and higher risk ones behind. A utility's obligation to serve—the very foundation

of an exclusive franchise—exists to prevent precisely this type of redlining. The Commission must make clear that the franchisee is a privilege that includes this obligation; it is not some acquisition opportunity to be carved, shaped and resold for maximum profit. That is not to say that PG&E's entire, vast service territory must remain whole, especially if the Commission were to find that economies of scale no longer favored (if they ever did) a region this large. A useful principle: Ensure that if portions of the current service territory are sold to different acquirers, no customer is made worse off.

- b. *A bailout without accountability:* Allowing PG&E to emerge with reduced debt but an unchanged culture of entitlement—is dangerous for California's consumers and businesses. This path presents a risk to customers: that PG&E, unguided by Commission prerequisites, will work with creditors to pour concrete around a plan that maximizes creditors' return, then pressure the Commission to raise rates to implement it. Additional pressure would come from the financial community (that is, the portion of the financial community connected to PG&E—not the portion wishing to invest in alternatives to PG&E)—all arguing the customary platitudes: PG&E is too big to fail, uncertainty raises costs for all. But because the bankruptcy court has no statutory power to improve PG&E's performance, this path has the customers protecting the creditors from their losses but getting left with an unreformed PG&E. The best protection against this path is for the Commission to start developing alternatives.
- c. *Investor expectation that PG&E's franchise will remain uncontested:* California has never subjected its major utility franchises to competition—even after multiple occasions of franchise-breaching conduct. If the Commission says nothing now, and PG&E emerges from bankruptcy under Path 1, its investors could reasonably conclude that PG&E's future will be like its past—that it can commit any manner of crimes and violations, at no risk of losing its privileged position. While the Commission's silence would not create a constitutionally protected expectation of future franchise permanence, any later Commission effort to question PG&E's franchise would likely provoke the type of vocal outrage disappointed people use, strategically, to cause those lacking alternatives to fear developing alternatives.

F. The Consequences of Inaction: The Bankruptcy Court Displaces the Commission and Price Displaces Performance

We have explained how the Commission can establish prerequisites for post-bankruptcy franchisees, and seek non-PG&E alternatives whose reorganization plans align with Commission

priorities, without impeding the bankruptcy court's jurisdiction. If the Commission fails to take those two steps? The bankruptcy process can, in practice if not in law, diminish the Commission's powers. This concern has at least three bases.

- ***The bankruptcy court has no duty to produce cost-effective utility performance.***

Bankruptcy courts focus on approving a plan that satisfies the creditors' legitimate interests while preserving, if possible, the debtor's business. The bankruptcy court has no legal power to make a utility's performance safer, to address its honesty issues, or to fix its culture of entitlement. So if PG&E and its creditors agree on a plan to sell the company, the utility will be sold to the highest bidder, not to the best performer, with the ratepayers covering the cost—unless the Commission specifies its prerequisites for PG&E's purchaser. Bankruptcy law does not preempt the Commission's state law power to approve or disapprove an acquisition of PG&E or its assets. But if the Commission does not state its requirements in advance of the Bankruptcy court's actions, it will be stuck with the bare, suboptimal choice of Yes or No to a proposal not of its own making. Or the Commission will end up negotiating in private with PG&E and its prospective acquirers, then hand intervenors in the Commission approval proceeding a single take-it-or-leave-it plan—with no opportunities for intervenors to reshape the result because the plan's sponsors will claim it rests on agreements "too fragile," too "painstakingly negotiated," to be changed.

- ***PG&E's motivations conflict with the public's interest in performance.***

In the bankruptcy proceeding, PG&E's goals are self-interested: Emerge with lighter debt and maintain control of the monopoly franchise; or, get the highest price possible for its shareholders, paid for by ratepayers. In the bankruptcy proceeding, PG&E has no obligation to improve performance or changes in its culture. So in terms of performance and culture, post-bankruptcy PG&E could be the same as pre-bankruptcy PG&E—or worse.

- ***The creditors' goals conflict with the public's interest in performance.***

The creditors' goal is to be paid. While those who continue to hold bonds will not be indifferent to the utility's future performance (since penalties and fines hurt the bottom line), their priority is payment, not performance.

These three factors all point in one direction: The bankruptcy process will emphasize payments over performance. However, readers should not take this point separate from its context. Cal Advocates respects creditors' legitimate claims. But ratepayers also have material and substantive rights—to performance commensurate with the rates they pay. The best solution—one that marries bankruptcy's priorities with the Commission's obligations—is what

this Motion urges: that the Commission set standards that bring competitors who can perform with excellence and get the creditors paid. But to make this marriage work, the Commission needs to act, and act now. Relying solely on its legal ability to block a plan later based on its rate path⁴² will not get California the best performance it needs. The Commission needs to make clear to the bankruptcy court that it will approve a post-bankruptcy PG&E, or new owner of PG&E, or a new successor to PG&E, or a rate path for PG&E or its successor, only if that entity, among all possible entities, best meets the Commission's criteria for performance. Only by acting now to influence to-be-filed plans or sale proposals brought in bankruptcy court, not by reacting to filed plans or sale motions, can the Commission's priorities prevail.

IV. CONCLUSION

The clock is ticking: The Commission must establish its priorities before non-PG&E acquirers appear.

The Commission must take action. It must define the features of, and expectations for, the franchisee's performance. Then to ensure that customers receive that performance the Commission must find the best performers.

These Commission actions must happen soon. On September 26, 2019, PG&E's current exclusivity period ends. Absent Commission-set prerequisites, either creditors will accept a PG&E proposal or, starting September 27, 2019 other entities will offer plans that serve their interests. Unless it declares its criteria, the Commission will be a bystander and reactor. It is only by acting now that the Commission can produce a result that satisfies creditors' legitimate needs, while also ensuring that California's ratepayers are served by the best performer.

The Public Advocates Office urges the Commission to amend its June 18, 2019 Ruling to align with the broader goals of this proceeding by adding the questions in Appendix II, which address the prerequisites necessary to ensure Californians are provided the service they deserve and to begin laying the framework for seeking alternative providers.

⁴² Under 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(6).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ DARWIN FARRAR
DARWIN FARRAR

Chief Counsel
Public Advocates Office
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 703-1599
E-mail: Darwin.Farrar@cpuc.ca.gov

July 1, 2019

Appendix I

Bankruptcy Law Does not Preempt the Commission's Decisions on Franchisee Qualifications

The filing of a bankruptcy petition triggers, under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, an "automatic stay." The stay prevents litigation against the debtor that attempts to enforce or collect on financial claims that pre-dated the petition.

The automatic stay does not apply, however, to "the commencement or continuation of an action or proceeding by a governmental unit . . . to enforce such governmental unit's or organization's police and regulatory power, . . ." Bankruptcy Code § 362(b)(4). Applying this language, courts have developed two tests: the pecuniary purpose test and the public policy test. The pecuniary purpose test asks whether the government action relates primarily to the protection of the government's pecuniary interest in the debtor's property or to matters of public safety or welfare. If the former, the police-and-regulatory power exception is unavailable; the automatic stay applies. But if regulatory action carries out public policy, it is exempted from the stay.

Even if the regulatory action affects the debtor economically, if its purpose is public policy the stay does not apply.⁴³ PG&E's last bankruptcy case illustrates the point. Before PG&E filed its bankruptcy petition, the Commission required it to transfer negative balances to a Transition Cost Balancing Account. After filing for bankruptcy, PG&E argued that the Commission's effort to enforce that decision violated the stay. Judge Montali (the bankruptcy Judge then and now) disagreed. He held the Commission's primary purpose was public policy. That the result may be a negative economic impact on PG&E, and a positive impact on PG&E's customers, does not change the fact that the Commission's ratemaking implements public policy. PG&E also argued that the Commission's decision adjudicated private rights (a sign of pecuniary

⁴³ See generally *MLRD v. Continental Hagen Corp.*, 932 F.2d 828, 833 (9th Cir. 1991).

purpose, which cannot avoid the stay), by favoring consumers at PG&E's expense. The court again disagreed concluding that the Commission's action was "more legislative in character"; and, that the regulating of utilities, wrote the court, "is one of the most important of the functions traditionally associated with the police power of the states."⁴⁴

In particular, the bankruptcy court's approval of an acquirer does not force a state to grant that acquirer control of the franchise. We know this from the Texas experience. The retail utility Oncor was owned 80 percent by Energy Future Holdings Corp ("EFHC"). EFHC went bankrupt. The federal bankruptcy court approved Oncor's acquisition by NextEra (the holding company for Florida Power & Light). But the Texas Commission rejected NextEra because NextEra wanted control of Oncor's utility cash flow to pay off NextEra's high acquisition debt. The court then approved a bid from Sempra (the holding company for San Diego Gas & Electric Company)—again subject to the Commission's approval, which was granted. Both times, no one argued preemption.⁴⁵

Caution: This Commission should not follow Texas's approach of waiting for the bankruptcy court outcome before disapproving the result. Doing so makes a Commission a spectator rather than a decision maker. The Commission should make clear, now, that it will approve only the best performer, not the highest bidder.

⁴⁴ PG&E's previous bankruptcy was addressed in *In re Pac. Gas & Elec. Co.*, 263 B.R. 306 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2001). The passages quoted here appear at *id.* at 318-20 (citing *Ark. Elec. Coop. Corp. v. Ark. Pub. Serv. Comm'n*, 461 U.S. 375, 377 (1983)).

⁴⁵ On Oncor, see *Joint Report and Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC and NextEra Energy*, Docket No. 46238, Tex. Pub. Util. Comm'n, (Apr. 13, 2017). On Sempra, see *Joint Report and Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company and Sempra Energy for Regulatory Approvals*, Docket No. 47675 (Mar. 8, 2018). While bankruptcy litigants behave as if the state commission is not preempted from rejecting a bankruptcy-approved acquirer, that specific question has not been litigated. The Ninth Circuit has held that a court-approved bankruptcy reorganization plan is preemptive of state regulation "relating to financial condition" under 11 U.S.C. § 1142(a). See *Pacific Gas and Electric Co., et al. v. People of the State of California*, 350 F.3d 932, 937, 948 (9th Cir. 2003). While the appeal was pending the bankruptcy judge terminated debtor's exclusivity, the Commission filed its own competing plan, leading to the confirmation of an entirely different plan. As a result, there has been no judicial determination whether Commission regulation of corporate ownership structure is regulation "relating to financial condition."

Appendix II
Amendments to the June 18, 2019 Ruling - Additional Questions for Parties

ADD new section 2.5 to the June 18, 2019 Ruling:

2.5 Minimum requirements for Serving PG&E's Ratepayers in the Post-Bankruptcy Era

This question begins the process of developing the minimum criteria the Commission should require of a post-bankruptcy franchisee.

What are the minimum criteria the Commission should require of a post-bankruptcy franchisee, whether PG&E or another entity? Consider for example:

- d. The company has a minimum number of years of experience providing safe, affordable, reliable energy utility services in rural and urban areas consistent with the state's environmental goals.
- e. Demonstrated ability in meeting or exceeding the minimum federal, state, and local safety requirements.
- f. The company has a demonstrated commitment and ability to carry out the state's clean energy goals.
- g. The company has a demonstrated commitment to integrity, transparency, and honesty in its relations with the public, regulators and other stakeholders.
- h. The company has a demonstrated respect for workers and their unions.
- i. If the competitor is part of a holding company system, that holding company system is not complex, overly leveraged, or invested in businesses whose risks or strategies conflict with a utility's obligation to serve.
- j. The company satisfies Commission criteria designed to reduce the risk of horizontal or vertical market power.
- k. The company has the financial capability both to execute the purchase (paying the shareholders and paying off or taking on the debt) and to operate successfully.

ADD new section 2.6 to the June 18, 2019 Ruling:

2.6 Possible Requirements of Bidders and Bids

These questions help begin defining the procedure by which the Commission can attract prospective franchisees.

- A. By what procedures might the Commission use to (a) collect expressions of interest, and then (b) conduct a competitive bidding process? Are there analogies from government procurement processes that would be helpful?
- B. How can the Commission ensure that prospective bidders for portions of PG&E's system do not cherry-pick parts of the system, or avoid their appropriate share of costs associated with existing debt, public purpose programs, or long-term contracts?
- C. How can the Commission avoid a situation in which bidders offer an acquisition premium for PG&E's stock and then seek recovery of the premium in rates?

Attachment 2:
REQUEST FOR OFFENDER PG&E TO SUPPLY
INFORMATION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Defendant.

No. CR 14-00175 WHA

**REQUEST FOR OFFENDER
PG&E TO SUPPLY
INFORMATION**


1. The Court has read the report in the Wall Street Journal dated July 10, 2019, bearing the headline "PG&E Knew for years Its Lines Could Spark Wildfires, and Didn't Fix Them." PG&E is hereby ordered to file a public statement with the Court responding to the report on a paragraph-by-paragraph basis stating the extent to which each paragraph is accurate. The offender (PG&E) may not evade response by saying, for example, that it cannot know what documents the Wall Street Journal reviewed. The offender should know the extent to which the story is accurate or not since the report covers what PG&E knows internally. In the past, the offender has responded to some of the Court's questions by filing thousands of records and leaving it to the judge to find the needles in the haystacks. This time, the offender must provide a fresh, forthright statement owning up to the true extent of the Wall Street Journal report. The offender's response shall be up to **40 DOUBLE-SPACED PAGES**.
2. Separately, in **10 DOUBLE-SPACED PAGES** or less, the offender shall answer the following questions:

- 1 a. The Court has learned from ABC news that PG&E has made large
2 campaign contributions to political candidates, even quite recently. The
3 offender shall set forth the full amount of campaign contributions and to
4 whom such contributions were made to by PG&E since January 1, 2017,
5 and shall explain why those campaign contributions were more important
6 that replacing or repairing the aging transmission lines described by the
7 Wall Street Journal article and removing or trimming the backlog of
8 hazard trees, and increasing vegetation management.
- 9 b. PG&E has distributed almost five billion dollars in dividends prior to
10 seeking the protection of the Bankruptcy Court. Please also explain why
11 so much was paid out in dividends at a time when PG&E was aware of
12 the problems named in the Wall Street Journal report and knew of its
13 hazard tree backlog.

14
15 Both responses by the offender are due **JULY 31, 2019 AT NOON.**

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: July 10, 2019.

20 
21 WILLIAM ALSUP
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Sacramento; my business address is 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500, Sacramento, California 95814. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the foregoing action.

On July 16, 2019, I served the within:

- (1) **SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY AND VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ALLIANCE'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS CONCERNING MOTION OF AD HOC COMMITTEE OF SENIOR UNSECURED NOTEHOLDERS TO TERMINATE THE DEBTORS' EXCLUSIVITY PERIODS PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121(d)(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE (Dkt. 2741)**

☒ X


(by e-mail transmission) on all parties listed on the attached **Exhibit A**, based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I sent the document(s) to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) as set forth on the attached service list, **Exhibit A**.

☒ X

(by mail) on all parties listed on the attached **Exhibit B** in said action by regular, first class United States mail, postage fully pre-paid, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in a designated area for outgoing mail, addressed as set forth below. At Boutin Jones Inc., mail placed in that designated area is given the correct amount of postage and is deposited that same day, in the ordinary course of business, in a United States mailbox in the City of Sacramento, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 16, 2019, at Sacramento, California.



CARMELIA V. DOMINGO

1025803.1

Exhibit A

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel to Mirna Tretveik, including Other Fire Victim Tort Claimants	ADLER LAW GROUP, APC	Attn: E. Elliot Adler, Esq., Geoffrey E. Marr, Esq., & Omeed Latifi, Esq.	402 West Broadway, Ste. 860		San Diego	CA	92101		619-531-8700	619-342-9800	EAdler@TheAdlerFirm.com gemarr59@hotmail.com bzumner@TheAdlerFirm.com olatifi@theadlerfirm.com
Counsel for Mirna Tretveik, including other Fire Victim Tort Claimants	ADLER LAW GROUP, APC	Attn: E. Elliot Adler, Geoffrey E. Marr, Brittany S. Zimmer, Omeed Latifi	402 West Broadway Suite 860		San Diego	CA	92101		619-531-8700	619-342-9600	EAdler@TheAdlerFirm.com gemarr59@hotmail.com bzumner@TheAdlerFirm.com olatifi@theadlerfirm.com
Counsel to TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, LLC	Aera Energy LLC	Attn: Ron A. Symm	10000 Ming Avenue 601 West Fifth Street, Suite 300		Bakersfield	CA	93311		661-665-5791		RASymm@aeraenergy.com
Counsel to TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, LLC	AKERMAN LLP	Attn: EVELINA GENTRY	2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3600		Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-688-9500	213-627-6342	evelina.gentry@akerman.com yelena.archivan@akerman.com john.mitchell@akerman.com
Counsel to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Company	AKERMAN LLP	Attn: JOHN E. MITCHELL and YELINA ARCHIVAN			Dallas	TX	75201		214-720-4300	214-981-9339	
Counsel to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	Attn: Ashley Vinson Crawford	580 California Street Suite 1500		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-765-9500	415-765-9501	avcrawford@akingump.com
Counsel to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	Attn: David P. Simonds	1999 Avenue of the Stars Suite 600		Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-229-1000	310-229-1001	dsimonds@akingump.com mstamer@akingump.com idzengoff@akingump.com dbotter@akingump.com
Counsel to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	Attn: Michael S. Stamer, Ira S. Dizengoff, David H. Botter	One Bryant Park Suite 300		New York	NY	10036		212-872-1000	212-872-1002	shiggins@andrewsthornton.com jct@andrewsthornton.com aa@andrewsthornton.com Andrew.Silfen@arentfox.com
Counsel to Agaljanian, Inc.	ANDREWS & THORNTON	Attn: Anne Andrews, Sean T. Higgins, and John C. Thornton	4701 Von Karman Ave 42nd Floor		Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-748-1000	949-315-3540	Beth.Brownstein@arentfox.com Jordana.Renert@arentfox.com andy.kong@arentfox.com christopher.wong@arentfox.com
Counsel for BOKF, NA, solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee	ARENT FOX LLP	Attn: Andrew J. Silfen, Beth M. Brownstein, Jordana L. Renert	1301 Avenue of the Americas 48th Floor		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	212-484-3990	Aram.Ordubugian@arentfox.com brian.lohan@arnoldporter.com steven.fruchter@arnoldporter.com jg5786@att.com
Counsel for BOKF, NA, solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee	ARENT FOX LLP	Attn: Andy S. Kong and Christopher K.S. Wong	555 West Fifth Street 48th Floor		Los Angeles	CA	90013-1065		213-629-7400	213-629-7401	
Counsel for AT&T	ARENT FOX LLP	Attn: Aram Ordubugian	555 West Fifth Street 48th Floor		Los Angeles	CA	90013-1065		213-629-7400	213-629-7401	
Counsel for AT&T	Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP	Attn: Brian Lohan, Esq., Steven Fruchter, Esq.	250 West 55th Street One AT&T Way, Room 3A115		New York	NY	10019		212-836-8000	212-836-8689	
Counsel for AT&T	AT&T	Attn: James W. Grudus, Esq.	3A115		Bedminster	NJ	07921		908-234-3318	832-213-0157	
Counsel to California State Agencies	Attorney General of California	Attn: XAVIER BECERRA, DANETTE VALDEZ, and ANNADEL ALMENDRAS	455 Golden Gate Avenue Suite 11000		San Francisco	CA	94102-7004		415-510-3367	415-703-5480	Danette.Valdez@doj.ca.gov Annadel.Almendras@doj.ca.gov
Counsel to California State Agencies	Attorney General of California	Attn: XAVIER BECERRA, MARGARITA PADILLA, and JAMES POTTER	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		Oakland	CA	94612-0550		510-879-0815	510-822-2270	James.Potter@doj.ca.gov Margarita.Padilla@doj.ca.gov
Counsel to California State Agencies	Attorney General of California	Attn: XAVIER BECERRA, MARGARITA PADILLA, and JAMES POTTER	300 South Spring Street Suite 1702		Los Angeles	CA	90013		213-269-6326	213-897-2802	James.Potter@doj.ca.gov
Special Bankruptcy Counsel for Certain Fire Damage Plaintiffs Claimants	BAILEY AND ROMERO LAW FIRM	Attn: MARTHA E. ROMERO	12518 Beverly Boulevard Attard		Whittier	CA	90601		562-889-0182		marthaeromero@lawfirm.com esagerman@bakerlaw.com lataard@bakerlaw.com rjulian@bakerlaw.com cdumas@bakerlaw.com
Proposed Counsel for Official Committee of Tort Claimants	BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP	Attn: Eric E. Sagerman, Lauren T. Attard	11601 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1400		Los Angeles	CA	90025-0509		310-442-8875	310-520-8859	
Proposed Counsel for Official Committee of Tort Claimants	BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP	Attn: Robert A. Julian, Cecily A. Dumas	1160 Battery Street Suite 100		San Francisco	CA	94111		415-542-8730		
Counsel for NRG Energy Inc., Clearway Energy, Inc., and Clearway Energy Group LLC	Baker Botts L.L.P.	Attn: C. Luckey McDowell, Ian E. Roberts, Kevin Chiu	2001 Ross Avenue Suite 1000		Dallas	TX	75201		214-953-6500		Ian.Roberts@BakerBotts.com Kevin.Chiu@BakerBotts.com
Counsel for NRG Energy Inc., Clearway Energy, Inc., and Clearway Energy Group LLC	Baker Botts L.L.P.	Attn: Navi S. Dhillion	101 California Street Suite 3600		San Francisco	CA	94111		415-291-6200		Navi.Dhillion@BakerBotts.com
Counsel for Phillips and Jordan	Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC	Attn: John H. Rowland	211 Commerce Street Suite 800		Nashville	TN	37201		615-726-5544	615-744-5544	jrowland@bakerdonelson.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for Phillips and Jordan, Inc., Counsel for APTIM, Counsel for TTR Substations, Inc., Counsel for Snelson Companies, Inc.	Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC	Attn: Lacey E. Rochester, Jan M. Hayden	201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3600		New Orleans	LA	70170		504-566-5292; 504-566-5200	504-636-4000	irochester@bakerdonelson.com jhayden@bakerdonelson.com
URENCO Limited and Louisiana Energy Services, LLC	Ballard Spahr LLP	Attn: Brian D. Huben	2029 Century Park East	Suite 800	Los Angeles	CA	90067-2909		424-204-4353	424-204-4350	hubenb@ballardspahr.com
Counsel to Campos EPC, LLC	BALLARD SPAHR LLP	Attn: Brian D. Huben, Esq.	2029 Century Park East	Suite 800	Los Angeles	CA	90067-2909		424-204-4400	424-204-4350	hubenb@ballardspahr.com
Counsel for Realty Income Corp., Counsel for Discovery Hydrovac	BALLARD SPAHR LLP	Attn: Craig Solomon Ganz, Michael S. Myers	1 East Washington Street	Suite 2300	Phoenix	AZ	85004-2555				ganzc@ballardspahr.com myersm@ballardspahr.com
URENCO Limited and Louisiana Energy Services, LLC	Ballard Spahr LLP	Attn: Matthew G. Summers	919 North Market Street	11th Floor	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-252-4428	410-361-8930	summersm@ballardspahr.com
Counsel to Campos EPC, LLC	BALLARD SPAHR LLP	Attn: Theodore J. Hartl, Esq.	1225 17th Street	Suite 2300	Denver	CO	80202		303-296-3956	303-296-3956	hartlt@ballardspahr.com
Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.	Bank of America	Attn: John McCusker	Mail Code: NY1-100-21-01	One Bryant Park	New York	NY	10036		646-855-2464		john.mccusker@bami.com
Public Entities Impacted by the Wildfires	Baron & Budd, P.C.	Attn: Scott Summy, John Fiske	3102 Oak Lawn Avenue #1100		Dallas	TX	75219		214-521-3605		ssummy@baronbudd.com jfiske@baronbudd.com
Counsel for City of Morgan Hill	Barton, Klugman & Oetting LLP	Attn: Terry L. Higham, Thomas E. McCurnin, Christopher D. Higashi	350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2200		Los Angeles	CA	90071-3485		213-621-4000	213-625-1832	thigham@bklaw.com
Counsel for Dan Clarke	BELVEDERE LEGAL, PC	Attn: Matthew D. Metzger	1777 Borel Place	Suite 314	San Mateo	CA	94402		415-513-5980	415-513-5985	belvederelegal@bklaw.com
Counsel for Infosys Limited, Counsel for ACRT, Inc.	COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP	Attn: Kevin M. Capuzzi, Michael J. Barrie	222 Delaware Avenue	Suite 801	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-442-7010	302-442-7012	kapuzzi@beneschlaw.com mbarrie@beneschlaw.com
Counsel for Infosys Limited, Counsel for ACRT, Inc.	BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP	Attn: Krista M. Enns	555 California Street	Suite 4925	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-659-7924	312-767-9192	kenns@beneschlaw.com
Counsel for Nationwide Entities	Berger Kahn, a Law Corporation	Attn: Craig S. Simon	1 Park Plaza, Suite 340		Irvine	CA	92614		949-474-1880	949-313-5029	csimon@bergerkahn.com
Counsel for Subrogation Insurers	Berger Kahn, a Law Corporation	Attn: Craig S. Simon	1 Park Plaza, Suite 340		Irvine	CA	92614		949-474-1880	949-313-5029	csimon@bergerkahn.com
Counsel for Valley Clean Energy Alliance	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	Attn: Harriet Steiner	500 Capitol Mall	Suite 1700	Sacramento	CA	95814		916-325-4000	916-325-4010	harriet.steiner@bbklaw.com
Counsel for ChargePoint, Inc., Counsel to Alameda Consulting, Inc.	BINDER & MALTER, LLP	Attn: Michael W. Malter, Robert G. Harris, Heinz Binder	2775 Park Avenue		Santa Clara	CA	95050		408-295-1700	408-295-1531	Michael@bindermlt.com Rob@bindermlt.com Heinz@bindermlt.com
Counsel to Creditor American Construction and Supply, Inc.	BLOOMFIELD LAW GROUP, INC., P.C.	Attn: Neil J. Bloomfield	901 E St., Suite 100		San Rafael	CA	94901				njbloomfield@njbklaw.com
Counsel for Creditor and Party-in-Interest Sonoma Clean Power Authority	Boutin Jones Inc.	Attn: Mark Gorton	555 Capital Mall	Suite 1500	Sacramento	CA	95814				mgorton@boutinjones.com
Counsel to unsecured asbestos personal injury creditor Everett Freeman Waining, Jr.	BRAYTON-PURCELL LLP	Attn: Alan R. Brayton, Esq. and Bryn G. Letsch, Esq.	222 Rush Landing Road	P.O. Box 6169	Novato	CA	94948-6169		415-898-1555	415-898-1247	bletsch@braytonlaw.com
Counsel for MDR Inc. (dba Accu-Bore Directional Drilling), Veteran Power, Inc.	Brothers Smith LLP	Attn: Mark V. Isola	2033 N. Main Street	Suite 720	Walnut Creek	CA	94596		925-944-9700	925-944-9701	misola@brotherssmithlaw.com
Counsel to Frase Enterprises, Inc. dba Kortick Manufacturing Company	Brunetti Rougeau LLP	Attn: Gregory A. Rougeau	235 Montgomery Street	Suite 410	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-992-8940	415-992-8915	grougeau@brlawsf.com
Counsel for California Community Choice Association, Counsel for Orade America, Inc.	Buchalter, A Professional Corporation	Attn: Valerie Bantner Peo, Shawn M. Christianson	55 Second Street	17th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94105-3493		415-227-0900	415-227-0770	schristianson@buchalter.com vbantner@buchalter.com
California Public Utilities Commission	Commission	Attn: Arciles Aguilar	505 Van Ness Avenue		San Francisco	CA	94102		415-703-2015	415-703-2262	arciles.aguilar@cpuc.ca.gov
CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY, A DIVISION OF CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.	CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY, A DIVISION OF CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.	Attn: Melanie Cruz, M. Armstrong	6001 Bollinger Canyon Road	72110	San Ramon	CA	94583				melaniecruz@chevron.com marmstrong@chevron.com
Counsel to Liberty Mutual Insurance Company	Choate, Hall & Stewart LLP	Attn: Douglas R. Gooding	Two International Place		Boston	MA	02110		617-248-5277	617-502-5277	dgooding@choate.com
Counsel to Liberty Mutual Insurance Company	Choate, Hall & Stewart LLP	Attn: Johnathan D. Marshall	Two International Place		Boston	MA	02110		617-248-4799	617-502-4799	jmarshall@choate.com
Counsel to Solon	CKR Law, LLP	Attn: Kristine Takovyan	1800 Century Park East, 14th Floor		Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-400-1110	310-400-0202	ctakovyan@ckrlaw.com
Interested Party California Community Choice Association	Clark & Trevithick	Attn: Kimberly S. Winick	800 Wilshire Boulevard	12th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90017		213-629-5700	213-624-9441	kwinick@clarktrev.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel to XL Insurance America, Inc., Albertsons Companies, Inc., Safeway Inc., Catlin Specialty Insurance Company, David W. Maehl, Rhonda J. Maehl, Starr Surplus Lines Insurance Company, Chubb Custom Insurance Company, General Security Indemnity Company of Arizona (GSINDA), Markel Bermuda Limited, Ashford Inc., Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc.	Clausen Miller P.C. Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP COHNE KINGHORN, P.C. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Attn: Michael W. Goodin Attn: Lisa Schweitzer, Margaret Schierberl Attn: George Hofmann Department of Labor and Industry	17901 Von Karman Avenue One Liberty Plaza 111 East Broadway, 11th Floor 651 Boas Street, Room 702 Collections Support Unit	Suite 650	Irvine New York Salt Lake City Harrisburg	CA NY UT PA	92614 10006 84111 17121		949-260-3100 212-225-2000 801-363-4300 717-787-7627	949-260-3190 212-225-3999 801-363-4378 717-787-7671	mgoodin@clausen.com lschweitzer@gsh.com mschierberl@gsh.com ghofmann@cohnkinghorn.com ra-li-ucts-bankrupt@state.pa.us
Counsel for Gowon Construction Company Inc., Calaveras Telephone Company, Kerman Telephone Co., Pinnacles Telephone Co., The Ponderosa Telephone Co., Sierra Telephone Company, Inc., Volcano Telephone Company and TDS Telecom	Cooper, White & Cooper LLP COREY, LUZAICH, DE GHETALDI & RIDDLE LLP	Attn: Peter C. Califano Attn: Dario de Ghetaldi, Amanda L. Riddle, Steven M. Berk, Sumble Manzoor	201 California Street, 17th Floor 700 El Camino Real		San Francisco Millbrae	CA CA	94111 94030-0669		415-433-1900 650-871-5666	415-433-5530 850-871-4144	pcaifano@cwclaw.com deg@coreylaw.com alr@coreylaw.com snb@coreylaw.com sm@coreylaw.com
Counsel for Fire Victim Creditors Individual Plaintiffs Executive Committee appointed by the California Superior Court in the North Bay Fire Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number 4955, Pursuant to the terms of the Court's Case Management Order No. 1	Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP COUNTY OF SONOMA COUNTY OF YOLO Cozen O'Connor	Attn: Frank M. Pitre, Alison E. Cordova, Abigail D. Blodgett Attn: Tandra Curtis Attn: Eric May Attn: Fulton Smith, III	San Francisco Airport Office County Administration Center 625 Court Street 101 Montgomery Street	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 575 Administration Drive, Room 105A Room 201 Suite 1400	Burlingame Santa Rosa Woodland San Francisco	CA CA CA CA	94010 95403 95695 94101		650-697-6000 707-565-2421 530-666-8278 415-644-0914	650-697-0577 530-666-8279 415-644-0978	fpitre@cpmllegal.com acordova@cpmllegal.com ablodgett@cpmllegal.com Tandra.curtis@sonoma-county.org eric.may@yolocounty.org fsmith@cozen.com
Attorney for County of Sonoma Counsel for Valley Clean Energy Alliance Counsel to Liberty Mutual Insurance Company Counsel to Liberty Mutual Insurance Company Counsel to Renaissance Reinsurance LTD. Counsel for Creditors and Parties-in-Interest NEXANT Counsel to Renaissance Reinsurance LTD. Counsel for Creditors and Parties-in-Interest NEXANT Counsel for Fire Victim Creditors	Cozen O'Connor Crowell & Moring LLP Crowell & Moring LLP Crowell & Moring LLP DANKO MEREDITH	Attn: Mark E. Fieger Attn: Mark D. Plevin, Brendan V. Mullan Attn: Monique D. Almy Attn: Tacie H. Yoon Attn: Thomas F. Koegel Attn: Michael S. Danko, Kristine K. Meredith, Shawn R. Miller	1201 North Market Street Three Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 3 Embarcadero Center 333 Twin Dolphin Drive	Suite 1001 26th Floor 26th Floor Suite 145	Wilmington San Francisco Washington Washington San Francisco Redwood Shores	DE CA DC DC CA CA	19801 94111 20004 20004 94111 94065		302-295-2087 415-986-2800 415-986-2827 202-624-2500 415-986-2800 650-453-3600	302-295-2013 415-986-2827 202-624-2516 202-624-5116 202-624-2935 650-394-8672	mfieger@cozen.com mplevin@crowell.com bmullan@crowell.com malmy@crowell.com tyoon@crowell.com tkoegel@crowell.com mdanko@dnkolaw.com kmeredith@dnkolaw.com smiller@dnkolaw.com
Counsel for Citibank N.A., as Administrative Agent for the Utility Revolving Credit Facility Counsel for the agent under the Debtors' proposed debtor in possession financing facilities, Counsel for Citibank N.A., as Administrative Agent for the Utility Revolving Credit Facility Creditor and Counsel to Debra Grassgreen	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP Debra Grassgreen	Attn: Andrew D. Yaphe Attn: Eli J. Vonnegut, David Schiff, Timothy Graulich Attn: Karl Knight	1600 El Comino Real 450 Lexington Avenue 1339 Pearl Street		Menlo Park New York Napa	CA NY CA	94025 10017 94558		650-752-2000 212-450 4331	650-752-2111 212-701-5331	andrew.yaphe@davispolk.com eli.vonnegut@davispolk.com david.schiff@davispolk.com timothy.graulich@davispolk.com dgrassgreen@gmail.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel to Southwire Company LLC	Dentons US LLP	Attn: Bryan E. Bates, Esq.	303 Peachtree St., NE, Suite 5300		Atlanta	GA	30308		404-527-4073	404-527-4198	bryan.bates@dentons.com
Counsel for Capital Power Corporation and Halkirk Wind Project LP	Dentons US LLP	Attn: John A. Moe, II	601 S. Figueroa Street	Suite 2500	Los Angeles	CA	90017-5704		213-623-9300	213-623-9924	john.moe@dentons.com
Counsel for Capital Power Corporation and Halkirk Wind Project LP	Dentons US LLP	Attn: Lauren Mackoud	1221 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020-1089		212-768-5347		Lauren.mackoud@dentons.com
Counsel to Southwire Company LLC, Travelers Insurance	Dentons US LLP	Attn: Michael A. Isaacs, Esq.	One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, 24th Floor		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-356-4614	415-267-4198	michael.isaacs@dentons.com
Counsel for Capital Power Corporation and Halkirk Wind Project LP	Dentons US LLP	Attn: Oscar N. Pinkas	1221 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020-1089		212-768-6701		oscar.pinkas@dentons.com
Counsel for Travelers Insurance	Dentons US LLP	Attn: Peter D. Wolfson	1221 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020		212-768-6800	212-768-6700	peter.wolfson@dentons.com
Counsel to Southwire Company LLC	Dentons US LLP	Attn: Samuel R. Maizel, Esq.	601 S. Figueroa Street	Suite 2500	Los Angeles	CA	90017-5704		213-623-9300	213-623-9924	samuel.maizel@dentons.com
Counsel for Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders	Diemer & Wei, LLP	Attn: Kathryn S. Diemer	100 West San Fernando Street	Suite 555	San Jose	CA	95113		408-971-6270	408-971-6271	kdiemer@diemerwei.com
Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Tort Claimant Creditors	DIA PIPER LLP (US)	Attn: Eric Goldberg, David Riley	2000 Avenue of the Stars	Tower	Los Angeles	CA	90067-4704		310-595-3000	310-595-3300	eric.goldberg@dlpiper.com
Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Tort Claimant Creditors	DIA PIPER LLP (US)	Attn: Joshua D. Morse	555 Mission Street	Suite 2400	San Francisco	CA	94105-2933		415-836-2500	415-836-2501	joshua.morse@dlpiper.com
Attkisson, Chippewa Pest Control, Inc., Lara Balas, Adam Balogh, Brian Bolton, Sharon Britt and Heather Blowers	DREYER BABICH BUCCOLA WOOD CAMPORA, LLP	Attn: Steven M. Campora	20 Bicentennial Circle		Sacramento	CA	95826		916-379-3500	916-379-3599	scampora@dbwc.com
Counsel for Honeywell International Inc. and Eltek American Meter Company, LLC	Dykema Gossett LLP	Attn: Gregory K. Jones	333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2100		Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-457-1800	213-457-1850	gjones@dykema.com
Counsel for East Bay Community Energy Authority	East Bay Community Energy Authority	Attn: Leah S. Goldberg	1111 Broadway	3rd Floor	Oakland	CA	94607		510-838-5266		lgoldberg@ebce.org
Counsel for EDP Renewables North America LLC, Rising Tree Wind Farm II LLC, and Arlington Wind Power Project LLC	EDP Renewables North America LLC	Attn: Leslie A. Freiman, Randy Sawyer	808 Travis	Suite 700	Houston	TX	77002		713-265-0350	713-265-0365	leslie.freiman@edpr.com
Counsel for W. Bradley Electric, Inc.	Elkington Shepherd LLP	Attn: Sally J. Elkington, James A. Shepherd	409 - 13th Street	10th Floor	Oakland	CA	94612		510-465-0404	510-465-0202	sally@elkshp.com
Counsel for Creditor and Party-in-Interest Sonoma Clean Power Authority	Engel Law, P.C.	Attn: G. Larry Engel	12116 Horseshoe Lane		Nevada City	CA	94123				larry@engeladvice.com
Information Agent for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, and the Official Committee of Tort Claimants	Epq Corporate Restructuring, LLC	Attn: PG&E UCC and PG&E TCC	777 Third Avenue, 12th Floor		New York	NY	10017		646-282-2500		sgarabato@epglobal.com
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Attn: General Counsel	888 First St NE		Washington	DC	20426				
Counsel to California State Agencies	FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD WILLOUGHBY & PASCUZZI LLP	Attn: STEVEN H. FELDERSTEIN and PAUL J. PASCUZZI	400 Capitol Mall	Suite 1750	Sacramento	CA	95814		916-329-7400	916-329-7435	sfelderstein@fwplaw.com
Counsel to The Okonite Company	Finestone Hayes LLP	Attn: Stephen D. Finestone	456 Montgomery St.	20th Fl.	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-421-2624	415-398-2820	spascuzzi@fwplaw.com
Aggreko, MCE Corporation, Nor-Cal Pipeline Services, and Roebelen Contracting, Inc.	Finestone Hayes LLP	Attn: Stephen D. Finestone, Jennifer C. Hayes	456 Montgomery St.	20th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-421-2624	415-616-0466	sfinstone@fhawlp.com
Counsel for Michels Corporation	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP	Attn: Erika L. Morabito, Brittany J. Nelson	3000 K Street, NW, Suite 600		Washington	DC	20007-5109		202-672-5300	202-672-5399	jhayes@fhawlp.com
Counsel for Michels Corporation	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP	Attn: Victor A. Vilaplana	3579 Valley Centre Drive, Suite 300		San Diego	CA	92130		858-847-6759	858-792-6773	rwthans@fhawlp.com
Counsel for BOKF, NA, solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee	FREDERIC DORWARD, LAWYERS PLLC	Attn: Samuel S. Ory	124 East Fourth Street		Tulsa	OK	74103-5010		918-583-9922	918-583-8251	emorabito@foley.com
Counsel for Itron, Inc.	GELLER SCALI BUSENELL & BROWN, LLC	Attn: Michael Busenell	1201 N. Orange St.	Suite 300	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-425-5800	302-425-5814	bnelson@foley.com
Counsel for Fire Victim Creditors	GBBS LAW GROUP	Attn: Eric Gibbs, Dylan Hughes	505 14th Street, Suite 1110		Oakland	CA	94612		510-350-9700	510-350-9701	vavilaplana@foley.com
Counsel for Topaz Solar Farms LLC	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	Attn: Jeffrey C. Krause, Genevieve G. Weiner	333 South Grand Avenue		Los Angeles	CA	90071-3197		213-229-7000	213-229-7520	sory@dlaw.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for Topaz Solar Farms LLC	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	Attn: Michael A. Rosenthal, Alan Moskowitz	200 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10166-0193		212-351-4000	212-351-4035	Mrosenthal@gibsondunn.com
Counsel for Cardno, Inc.	Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Attn: Diane Vuocolo	1717 Arch Street	Suite 400	Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-7803	215-717-5230	vuocolo@gtlaw.com
Attorneys for HercRentals	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	Attn: Howard J. Steinberg	1840 Century Park East	Suite 1900	Los Angeles	CA	90067-2121		310-586-7700	310-586-7800	steinberg@gtlaw.com
Counsel for Ruby Pipeline, L.L.C., Cardno, Inc.	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	Attn: Michael Hogue	4 Embarcadero Center	Suite 3000	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-655-1300	415-707-2010	hoguen@gtlaw.com
Counsel for City and County of San Francisco, including all of its agencies, departments, or instrumentalities	Greene Radovsky Maloney Share & Hennigh LLP	Attn: Edward J. Tredinnick	Four Embarcadero Center	Suite 4000	San Francisco	CA	94111-4106		415-981-1400	415-777-4961	etredinnick@greeneradovsky.com
Counsel for San Francisco Herring Association, Counsel for Dan Clarke, Counsel for Aida and Ramiro Rodriguez, Counsel for Todd and Adelina McNeive, Counsel for Dennis Caselli, Counsel for Sam and Cathy Dorrance, Counsel for Laura Hart, Counsel for Minh and Gordon Merchant											
Counsel for Nationwide Entities	Grotefeld Hoffmann	Attn: Stuart G. Gross	The Embarcadero	Pier 9 Suite 100	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-671-4628	415-480-6688	sgross@grotefeld.com
Attorneys for HercRentals	HercRentals	Attn: Mark S. Grotefeld, Maura Walsh Ochoa, Waylon J. Pickett	700 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 280		Larkspur	CA	94939		415-344-9670	415-985-2802	mgrotefeld@ghlaw-llp.com
COUNSEL FOR PARTIES-IN-INTEREST	Hickley, Allen & Snyder LLP	Attn: Sharon Petrosino, Esq.	27500 Riverview Center Blvd.		Bonita Springs	FL	34134		239-301-1126		mochoa@ghlaw-llp.com
ESVOLTA, LP AND HUMMINGBIRD ENERGY STORAGE, LLC		Attn: Jennifer V. Duran	28 State Street		Boston	MA	02109		617-345-9000	617-345-9020	wpickett@ghlaw-llp.com
COUNSEL FOR PARTIES-IN-INTEREST	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP	Attn: Erin N. Brady	1999 Avenue of the Stars	Suite 1400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-785-4600		Sharon.petrosino@hercrentals.com
ESVOLTA, LP AND HUMMINGBIRD ENERGY STORAGE, LLC	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP	Attn: M. Hampton Fousthee	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000		jdoran@hincleyallen.com
Counsel for McKinsey & Company, Inc. U.S.	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP	Attn: Bennett L. Spiegel	1999 Avenue of the Stars	Suite 1400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-785-4600		erin.brady@hoganlovells.com
Counsel for McKinsey & Company, Inc. U.S.	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP	Attn: Peter A. Ivanick, Alex M. Sher	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000		hampton.fousthee@hoganlovells.com
Counsel to Diablo Winds, LLC	HOLLAND & HART LLP	Attn: Risa Lynn Wolf-Smith	555 Seventeenth Street, Suite 3200		Denver	CO	80201-8749		303-295-8261		bennett.spiegel@hoganlovells.com
Counsel for Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as Indenture Trustees for certain bondholders	Holland & Knight LLP	Attn: Robert J. Labate, David L. Holtzman	50 California Street	Suite 2800	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-743-6900	415-743-6910	alex.sher@hoganlovells.com
Counsel for Interested Party The City of Oakland	Hopkins & Carley, a Law Corporation	Attn: Jay M. Ross, Monique D. Jewett-Brewster	70 South First Street		San Jose	CA	95113		408-286-9800	408-998-4790	peter.ivanick@hoganlovells.com
Counsel for DTE Stockton, LLC, Mt. Poso Cogeneration Company, LLC f/a Mt. Poso Cogeneration Company, L.P., Potrero Hills Energy Producers, LLC, Sunshine Gas Producers, LLC, Woodland Biomass Power, LLC f/a Woodland Biomass Power, Ltd.	HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP	Attn: Kevin M. Eckhardt	50 California Street	Suite 1700	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-975-3700		rwolf@hollandhart.com
Counsel for DTE Stockton, LLC, Mt. Poso Cogeneration Company, LLC f/a Mt. Poso Cogeneration Company, L.P., Potrero Hills Energy Producers, LLC, Sunshine Gas Producers, LLC, Woodland Biomass Power, Ltd.	HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP	Attn: Peter S. Partee, Sr.	200 Park Avenue	53rd Floor	New York	NY	10165		212-309-1000	212-309-1100	robert.labate@hklaw.com
Counsel to International Business Machines Corp	IBM Corporation	Attn: Marie-Jose Dube	275 Viger East		Montreal	QC	H2X 3R7	Canada	514-964-0694	845-491-5032	David.holtzman@hklaw.com
Internal Revenue Service	Internal Revenue Service	Attn: Craig Varnen, Andrew J. Strabone	2970 Market St		Philadelphia	PA	19104-5016		800-973-0424	855-235-6787	david.holtzman@hklaw.com
Counsel for BlueMountain Capital Management, LLC	Irell & Manella LLP		1800 Avenue of the Stars	Suite 900	Los Angeles	CA	90067-4276		310-277-1010	310-203-7199	mjb@hopkinscarley.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for The Davey Tree Expert Company	Irell & Manella LLP	Attn: Jeffrey M. Reiser, Kerri A. Lyman	840 Newport Center Drive	Suite 400	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-760-5242	949-760-5200	jreiser@irell.com klyman@irell.com
Counsel for BlueMountain Capital Management, LLC	Irell & Manella LLP	Attn: Michael H. Strub, Jr.	840 Newport Center Drive	Suite 400	Newport Beach	CA	92660-6324		949-760-0991	949-760-5200	mstrub@irell.com
Counsel to Iron Mountain Information Management, LLC	Management, LLC	Attn: Joseph Corrigan	One Federal Street		Boston	MA	02110		617-535-4744	617-451-0409	Bankruptcy2@ironmountain.com
Interested Party, CH2M HILL Engineers, Inc.	Jacobs Engineering	Attn: Robert Albery	Associate General Counsel	9191 South Jamaica Street	Englewood	CO	80112		720-286-2242		robert.albery@jacobs.com
Interested Party Jane Luciano	Jane Luciano	Attn: Alan J. Jang, Sally Noma	1766 Laeasie Ave., Suite 200	9000 Crow Canyon Road	Danville	CA	94506		925-216-6030		jane.luciano@comcast.net
Counsel for Nationwide Entities	Jang & Associates, LLP	Attn: Alan J. Jang, Sally Noma	200 P.O. Box 33127	1766 Laeasie Ave., Suite 200	Walnut Creek	CA	94596		925-937-1414		ajang@jangit.com
Counsel to Sodexo, Inc.	J.D. Thompson Law	Attn: Judy D. Thompson, Esq.	Two Embarcadero Center	5th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111		828-749-1865		sroma@jangit.com
Counsel for Peter Ouborg, Mizuho Bank, Ltd.	Jeffrey Mangies Butler & Mitchell LLP	Attn: Robert B. Kaplan, Bennett G. Young	Two Embarcadero Center	5th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111		828-749-1865		rbk@jmbm.com
Counsel for Itron, Inc.	JENKINS MULLIGAN & GABRIEL LLP	Attn: Larry W. Gabriel	2160 Oxnard Street	Suite 500	Woodland Hills	CA	91367		818-827-9147		lgabriel@bg.law
Interested Party John A. Vos A	John A. Vos		1430 Lincoln Avenue		San Rafael	CA	94901		415-485-5330		
Counsel for A&J Electric Cable Corporation	JORDAN, HOLZER & ORTIZ, PC	Attn: Antonio Ortiz, Shelby A. Jordan	500 N. Shoreline	Suite 900	Corpus Christi	TX	78401		361-884-5678		aortiz@jhwclaw.com
Counsel for The Act I Group, Inc.	Joseph A. Eisenberg P.C.	Attn: Joseph A. Eisenberg	2976 E. State Street	Suite 120 - No. 111	Eagle	ID	83616		361-888-5555		ecf@jhwclaw.com
Counsel to Citibank, N.A.	KEESAL, YOUNG & LOGAN A Professional Corporation	Attn: PETER R. BOUTIN	450 Pacific Avenue		San Francisco	CA	94133		415-398-6000		JAEL900@yahoo.com
Counsel to Debtor	Keller & Benvenuti LLP	Attn: Tobias S. Keller, Jane Kim	650 California Street	Suite 1900	San Francisco	CA	94108		415-496-6723		peter.boutin@kyl.com
Counsel for Kompogas SLO LLC and Tata Consultancy Services	Kelly Drye & Warren LLP	Attn: Benjamin D. Feder	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800		kdwyer@kellydrye.com
Counsel for Ruby Pipeline, L.L.C.	Kinder Morgan, Inc.	Attn: Mark A. Minich	Two North Nevada		Colorado Springs	CO	80903		719-520-4416		Mark_Minich@kindermorgan.com
Counsel for Ruby Pipeline, L.L.C.	Kinder Morgan, Inc.	Attn: Mosby Perrow	1001 Louisiana	Suite 1000	Houston	TX	77002		713-470-6547		Mosby_Perrow@kindermorgan.com
Counsel for Calpine Corporation	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Attn: Aparna Venamandra	601 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-446-4800		aparna.venamandra@kirkland.com
Counsel for Calpine Corporation	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Attn: David R. Seligman, P.C.	300 North LaSalle		Chicago	IL	60654		312-862-2000		david.seligman@kirkland.com
Counsel for the Federal Monitor	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Attn: Marc Kieselstein, P.C.	300 North LaSalle		Chicago	IL	60654		312-862-2000		marc.kieselstein@kirkland.com
Counsel for Calpine Corporation	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Attn: Mark McKane, P.C., Michael P. Esser	555 California Street		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-439-1400		mark.mckane@kirkland.com
Counsel for the Federal Monitor	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Attn: R. Alexander Pilmer	555 California Street		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-439-1400		michael.esser@kirkland.com
Counsel for the Federal Monitor	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Attn: Stephen E. Hessler, P.C.	601 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-446-4800		alexander.pilmer@kirkland.com
Counsel for NextEra Energy Inc. et al.	Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP	Attn: Kenneth N. Klee, David M. Stern, Samuel M. Kidder	1999 Avenue of the Stars	Thirty-Ninth Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-407-4000		stephen.hessler@kirkland.com
Counsel for Kings River Water Association	Klein, Denatale, Goldner, Cooper, Rosenlieb & Kimball, LLP	Attn: Hagop T. Bedoyan	5260 N. Palm Avenue, Suite 205		Fresno	CA	93704		559-438-4374		klee@ktblaw.com
Counsel to Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico	LABATON SUCHAROW LLP	Attn: Thomas A. Dubbs, Louis Gottlieb, Carol C. Villegas & Jeffrey A. Dubbin	140 Broadway		New York	NY	10005		212-907-0700		dstern@ktblaw.com
Counsel to County of San Luis Obispo	LAMB & KAWAKAMI LLP	Attn: Kevin J. Lamb, Michael K. Slattery, Thomas G. Kelch	333 South Grand Avenue	Suite 4200	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-630-5500		skidder@ktblaw.com
Counsel for Pacific Mobile Structures, Inc.	LANE POWELL PC	Attn: Brad T. Summers	601 SW Second Avenue	Suite 2100	Portland	OR	97204		503-778-2200		hbedoyan@kleinlaw.com
Counsel to Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC	Latham & Watkins LLP	Attn: Adam E. Malatesta	355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 100		Los Angeles	CA	90071-1560		213-485-1234		ec@kleinlaw.com
Counsel for Crockett Cogeneration, Middle River Power, LLC, and MRP San Joaquin Energy, LLC	Latham & Watkins LLP	Attn: Amy C. Quartarolo	355 South Grand Avenue	Suite 100	Los Angeles	CA	90071-1560		213-891-8763		tdubbs@labaton.com
Counsel to Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC	Latham & Watkins LLP	Attn: Caroline A. Reckler, Andrew M. Parlen	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022-4834		212-906-1200		cvillegas@labaton.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for Crockett Cogeneration, Middle River Power, LLC, and MRP San Joaquin Energy, LLC	Latham & Watkins LLP Law Office of Daren M. Schlechter	Attn: Christopher Harris, Andrew M. Parlen	885 Third Avenue 1925 Century Park East, Suite 830		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	christopher.harris@lw.com andrew.parlen@lw.com
Counsel to Jesus Mendoza	Schlechter	Attn: Daren M. Schlechter, Esq.	10953 Vista Lake Ct. Suite 636		Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-553-5747	310-553-5487	daren@schlechterlaw.com
Counsel for Ruby Pipeline, L.L.C.	LAW OFFICE OF PATRICIA WILLIAMS PREWITT Law Office of Richard L. Antognini	Attn: Patricia Williams Prewitt Attn: Richard L. Antognini	2036 Nevada City Highway Suite 104		Navasota	TX	77868		936-825-8705		pwp@pattiprewittlaw.com
Interested Party Counsel to Axtack Construction Corporation	LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN M. OLSON LAW OFFICE OF WAYNE A. SILVER	Attn: Steven M. Olson, Esq. & Jacob M. Faircloth, Esq. Attn: Wayne A. Silver	100 E Street, Suite 104 643 Bair Island Road 4550 California Avenue, Second Floor		Grass Valley	CA	95945-7700		916-295-4896	707-575-1800	slawyer@yahoo.com smo@molsonlaw.com
Counsel for LEWIS & TIBBITTS, INC. Counsel to Ruckman-Leal Creditors, Counsel to Michael Marroquin	Law Offices of Leonard K. Walsh Law Offices of Thomas J. Brandi	Attn: Leonard K. Walsh, Esq. Attn: Thomas J. Brandi	100 E Street, Suite 104 643 Bair Island Road 4550 California Avenue, Second Floor		Santa Rosa	CA	95404		707-575-1800	650-282-5980	707-575-1867 ws@waynesilverlaw.com
Creditor and Counsel to Debra Grassgreen	Law Offices of Thomas J. Brandi	Attn: Thomas J. Brandi	345 Pine Street		Bakersfield	CA	93309		661-328-5328		lwelsh@lwelshlaw.com
Interested Party CH2M HILL Engineers, Inc. Counsel for California Independent System Operator Counsel to Global Diving & Salvage, Inc. and Traffic Management, Inc.	Lesnick Prince & Pappas LLP Levene, Neale, Bender, Yoo & Brill L.L.P. LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & BRILL L.L.P.	Attn: Matthew A. Lesnick, Christopher E. Prince Attn: David L. Neale Attn: Eve H. Karasik	185 Pier Avenue 10250 Constellation Blvd., Suite 1700		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-989-1800	310-396-0963	tlb@brandlaw.com matt@lesnickprince.com cprince@lesnickprince.com DLN@LNBYB.COM
Counsel to Kepco California LLC, RE Astoria LLC	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP Linebarger Goggan Blair & Simpson, LLP	Attn: Lovee D. Sarenas, Scott Lee, Amy L. Goldman, Jasmin Yang Attn: John D. Dillman	633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000 PO Box 2064 111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4100		Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-250-1800	213-250-7900	Lovee.Sarenas@lewisbrisois.com Amy.Goldman@lewisbrisois.com Scott.Lee@lewisbrisois.com Jasmin.Yang@lewisbrisois.com
Counsel to Harris County Counsel to California Insurance Guarantee Association Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Union 1245	Locke Lord LLP Locke Lord LLP	Attn: Aaron Smith Attn: Bradley C. Knapp	601 Poydras Street Suite 2660		Chicago	IL	60606		312-443-0700	312-443-0336	asmith@lockelord.com
Counsel to Quanta Energy Services LLC Counsel to California Insurance Guarantee Association Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Union 1245	Locke Lord LLP Locke Lord LLP	Attn: Elizabeth M. Guffy Attn: Lindsey E. Kress	600 Travis, Suite 2800 JP Morgan Chase Tower Suite 1950		New Orleans	LA	70130		504-558-5210	504-910-6847	lknapp@lockelord.com eguffy@lockelord.com
Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Union 1245	Locke Lord LLP	Attn: Meagan S. Tom	101 Montgomery Street Suite 1950		Houston	TX	77002		713-226-1200	713-223-3717	lkress@lockelord.com
Counsel to Quanta Energy Services LLC Counsel for California Power Exchange Corporation	Locke Lord LLP LOEB & LOEB LLP	Attn: W. Steven Bryant Attn: Xiyi Fu Attn: Marc S. Cohen, Alicia Clough	600 Congress Street 101 Montgomery Street, Suite 1950 10100 Santa Monica Blvd		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-318-8810	415-676-5816	meagan.tom@lockelord.com sbryant@lockelord.com jackie.fu@lockelord.com mscohen@loeb.com aclough@loeb.com
Counsel to Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico Interested Party Counsel to BARBARA ZELMER and ROBERT ZELMER	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP Macdonald Fernandez LLP Manuel Corrales, Jr., Esquire	Attn: Michael S. Etkin, Andrew Behlmann & Gabriel L. Olivera Attn: Iain A. Macdonald Attn: H. Annie Duong	One Lowenstein Drive 221 Sansome Street 17140 Bernardo Center Drive, Suite 358		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2333	abehlmann@lowenstein.com golivera@lowenstein.com imac@macfern.com mannycorrales@yahoo.com
Counsel to Aegion Corporation and its subsidiary entities: Corpro Companies, Inc., Insituform Technologies, LLC and Fibwrap Construction Services, Inc. Counsel for Ghost Ship Warehouse Plaintiffs' Executive Committee	MARGULIES FAITH, LLP MARY ALEXANDER & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	ATTN: CRAIG G. MARGULIES Attn: Mary E. Alexander Attn: David L. Emerzian, H. Annie Duong	16030 VENTURA BOULEVARD 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1303 Counsel for A.J. Excavation Inc. Counsel for Philip Verwey d/b/a Philip Verwey Farms		ENCINO	CA	91436		818-705-2777	818-705-3777	Craig@MarguliesFaithLaw.com
Counsel for A.J. Excavation Inc. Counsel for Philip Verwey d/b/a Philip Verwey Farms	McCormick Barstow LLP McCormick Barstow LLP	Attn: H. Annie Duong	7647 North Fresno Street 7647 North Fresno Street		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-433-4440	415-433-5440	malexander@maryalexander.com Annie.Duong@mccormickbarstow.com demerian@mccormickbarstow.com
					Fresno	CA	93720		559-433-1300	559-433-2300	Annie.Duong@mccormickbarstow.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel to Winners Industry Co., Ltd.	McKool Smith, P.C.	Attn: James H. Smith	One Bryant Park, 47th Floor		New York	NY	10036		212-402-9400	212-402-9444	j.smith@mcckoolsmith.com
Counsel to Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico	MICHELSON LAW GROUP	Attn: Randy Michelson	220 Montgomery Street	Suite 2100	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-512-8600	415-512-8601	randy.michelson@michelsonlawgroup.com
Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Milbank LLP	Attn: Dennis F. Dumne, Samuel A. Khalil	55 Hudson Yards		New York	NY	10001-2163		212-530-5000	212-530-5219	dumne@milbank.com skhalil@milbank.com
Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Milbank LLP	Attn: Paul S. Aronson, Gregory A. Brav, Thomas R. Kreiler	2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor		Los Angeles	CA	90067		424-386-4000	213-629-5063	Paronson@milbank.com Gbrav@milbank.com TKreiler@milbank.com
Counsel for Marin Clean Energy	Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.	Attn: Abigail V. O'Brient, Andrew B. Levin	2029 Century Park East	Suite 3100	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-586-3200	310-586-3200	avobrient@mintz.com ablevin@mintz.com
Counsel to Creditor EN Engineering, LLC	Mirman, Bibman & Nahmias, LLP	Attn: Alan I. Nahmias	21860 Burbank Boulevard	Suite 360	Woodland Hills	CA	91367		818-995-2555	818-451-4620	anahmias@mbnlawyers.com
Counsel to NEARON SUNSET, LLC	MONTEE & ASSOCIATES	Attn: Kevin P. Montee	1250-I Newell Ave.	Suite 149	Walnut Creek	CA	94596		925-979-5579	925-955-1648	kmontee@monteeassociates.com
Counsel for Exponent, Inc.	Newmeyer & Dillon LLP	Attn: James J. Fienec, Joshua B. Bevit	1333 N. California Blvd	Suite 600	Walnut Creek	CA	94596		925-988-3200	925-988-3290	James.Fienec@ndff.com Joshua.Bevit@ndff.com
SECURITY FUND	NIXON PEABODY LLP	Attn: MAXIMILIAN A. FERULLO	55 West 46th Street		New York	NY	10036		212-940-3000	212-940-3111	inferullo@nixonpeabody.com
SECURITY FUND	NIXON PEABODY LLP	Attn: RICHARD C. PEDONE	Exchange Place	53 State Street	Boston	MA	02109		617-345-1000	617-345-1300	rpedone@nixonpeabody.com
SECURITY FUND	NIXON PEABODY LLP	Attn: WILLIAM S. LISA	One Embarcadero Center	32nd Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-984-8200	415-984-8300	wlisa@nixonpeabody.com
Counsel for Michael Vairo, Marie Dierssen, Catherine McClure, Tonia Hanson, Deirdre Codere, Denise Stooksberry, John Stooksberry, Bryan Sullivan, Sara Hill, Isalah Vera, Michael Williams, Joel Batts, Annalesia Batts, Claudia Blijstra, Andries Blijstra, Roger Martinez, Candice Seals, Gretchen Franklin, Christopher Franklin, Paul Bowen, Kelly Jones, Tami Coleman, Cecil Morris, Linda Schooling, Jennifer Makin, Barbara Cruise, Benjamin Hernandez, Irma Enriquez, Constantina Howard, Leroy Howard, Edward Delongfield, Brenda Howell, Lynda Howell, Angela Coker, Sally Thorp, Paradise Moose Lodge, Nancy Seals	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP	Attn: Joseph Feist	2611 Esplanade		Chico	CA	95973		530-433-0233	530-345-2103	info@nortcallawgroup.net joe@nortcallawgroup.net joseph.feist@nortonrosefulbright.com
Counsel for NextEra Energy Inc., NextEra Energy Partners, L.P.	Nuti Hart LLP	Attn: Howard Seife, Andrew Rosenblatt, Christy Rivera	1301 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6022		212-408-5100	212-541-5369	andrew.seife@nortonrosefulbright.com christy.rivera@nortonrosefulbright.com kineman@nuthart.com gnuti@nuthart.com chart@nuthart.com
Counsel for Creditors Public Entities Impacted by the Wildfires	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	Attn: Gregory C. Nuti, Christopher H. Hart, Kimberly S. Fineman	411 30th Street	Suite 408	Oakland	CA	94609-3311		510-506-7152		
Counsel to Department of Finance for the State of California and Governor Gavin Newsom	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	Attn: Jacob T. Beiswenger	400 South Hope Street		Los Angeles	CA	90071-2899		213-430-6000	213-430-6407	jbeiswenger@omm.com jrapisardi@omm.com nmitchell@omm.com dshamah@omm.com
Counsel to Department of Finance for the State of California and Governor Gavin Newsom	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	Attn: John J. Rapisardi, Nancy A. Mitchell and Daniel S. Shamah	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-326-2000	212-326-2061	
Counsel to Department of Finance for the State of California and Governor Gavin Newsom	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	Attn: Peter Friedman	1625 Eye Street, NW		Washington	DC	20006		202-383-5300	202-383-5414	pfriedman@omm.com
Office of the California Attorney General	Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California	Attn: Bankruptcy Dept	P.O. Box 944255		Sacramento	CA	94244-2550		916-445-9555	916-323-5341	bankruptcy@coag.gov
Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California	Office of the United States Trustee	Attn: Bankruptcy Unit	Federal Courthouse	450 Golden Gate Avenue	San Francisco	CA	94102		415-436-7200	415-436-7234	James.L.Snyder@usdoj.gov timothy.s.laffrey@usdoj.gov Marta.Villacorta@usdoj.gov
Office of the United States Trustee		Attn: James L. Snyder, Esq. & Timothy Laffrey, Esq., Marta E. Villacorta	450 Golden Gate Ave	Suite 05-0153	San Francisco	CA	94102		415-705-3333	415-705-3367	

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for EDP Renewables North America LLC, Rising Tree Wind Farm II LLC, and Arlington Wind Power Project LLC	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Attn: Debra Felder	1152 15th Street, NW	1152 15th Street, NW	Washington	DC	20005		202-339-8567	202-339-8500	dfelder@orrick.com
Counsel to Centerbridge Partners, L.P.	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	Attn: Douglas S. Mintz	Columbia Center	N.W.	Washington	DC	20005-1706		202-339-8518	202-339-8500	dmintz@orrick.com
Counsel for EDP Renewables North America LLC, Rising Tree Wind Farm II LLC, and Arlington Wind Power Project LLC	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Attn: Lorraine McGowan	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019		212-506-5114	212-506-5151	lmcgowan@orrick.com
Counsel to Centerbridge Partners, L.P.	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	Attn: Marc A. Levinson	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000		Sacramento	CA	95814-4497		916-329-4910	916-329-4900	malevinson@orrick.com
Counsel for EDP Renewables North America LLC, Rising Tree Wind Farm II LLC, and Arlington Wind Power Project LLC	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Attn: Thomas C. Mitchell	The Orrick Building	405 Howard Street	San Francisco	CA	94105		415-773-5732	415-773-5759	tmitchell@orrick.com
Counsel for The Baupost Group, L.L.C., as the general partner and investment manager for certain entities	Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Attn: Isaac M. Pachulski, Debra I. Grassgreen, Gabriel I. Glazer, John W. Lucas	150 California Street	15th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-263-7000	415-263-7010	jglazer@psjlaw.com dgrassgreen@psjlaw.com ipachulski@psjlaw.com
Counsel for TRC Companies, Inc.	Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Attn: John D. Fiero	150 California Street	15th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-263-7000	415-263-7010	jfiero@psjlaw.com
Counsel for Yuba County Water Agency	Parkinson Phinney	Attn: Thomas R. Phinney	3600 American River Drive	Suite 145	Sacramento	CA	95864				tom@parkinsonphinney.com
Counsel to California Public Utilities Commission	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP	Attn: Alan W. Kornberg, Brian S. Hermann, Walter R. Rieman, Sean A. Mitchell, Neal P. Donnelly	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3209	212-492-0209	akornberg@paulweiss.com bhermann@paulweiss.com wrieman@paulweiss.com
Counsel to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Attn: Andrea Wong	Office of the General Counsel		Washington	DC	20005-4026		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	smitchell@paulweiss.com ndonnelly@paulweiss.com
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Attn: Courtney L. Morgan	Office of the General Counsel	1200 K Street, N.W.	Washington	DC	20005-4026		202-326-4020-3448	202-326-4112	wong.andrea@pbgc.gov efie@pbgc.gov
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Attn: Daniel Robertson	Office of the General Counsel	1200 K Street, N.W.	Washington	DC	20005-4026		202-326-4020 ext. 3738	202-326-4112	morgan.courtney@pbgc.gov efie@pbgc.gov
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Attn: Melissa T. Ngo	Office of the General Counsel	1200 K Street N.W.	Washington	DC	20005-4026		202-326-4020	202-326-4112	robertson.daniel@pbgc.gov ngo.melissa@pbgc.gov
Counsel for Puget Sound Energy, Inc.	Perkins Cole, LLP	Attn: Alan D. Smith	1201 Third Avenue	Suite 4900	Seattle	WA	98101-3099		206-359-8000	206-359-9000	efie@pbgc.gov ADSmith@perkinscole.com
Debtors	PG&E Corporation	Attn: President or General Counsel	77 Beale Street	P.O. Box 77000	San Francisco	CA	94177				
Counsel for California Independent System Operator	Pierce Atwood LLP	Attn: Keith J. Cunningham	Merrill's Wharf	254 Commercial Street	Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1187	207-791-1350	kunningham@PierceAtwood.com
Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Attn: Dania Slim	324 Royal Palm Way	Suite 2200	Palm Beach	FL	33480		561-232-3300		dania.slim@pillsburylaw.com
Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.	PITTMAN LLP	Attn: Hugh M. Ray, III	900 Fannin	Suite 2000	Houston	TX	77010		713-276-7600	713-276-7673	hugh.ray@pillsburylaw.com
Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.	PITTMAN LLP	Attn: Leo T. Crowley	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036		212-858-1000		leo.crowley@pillsburylaw.com
Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.	PITTMAN LLP	Attn: M. David Minnick	Four Embarcadero Center	22nd Floor	San Francisco	CA	94126-5998		415-983-1000		dminnick@pillsburylaw.com
Counsel to Chevron Products Company, a division of Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP	Attn: Philip S. Warden	Four Embarcadero Center	22nd Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111-5998		415-983-1000	415-983-1200	philip.warden@pillsburylaw.com
Executive Committee	Placer County Office of the Treasurer-Tax Collector	Attn: Estela O. Pino	20 Bicentennial Circle, Suite 200		Sacramento	CA	95826		916-641-2288	916-641-1888	epino@epinolaw.com
Counsel for Mark Pulido, Counsel for Donna Walker, Mount Veeder Springs LLC, Counsel for Mount Veeder Springs LLC	PMRK LAW	Attn: Peter P. Meringolo	201 Spear Street	Suite 1100	San Francisco	CA	94105		415-496-3045	415-496-3091	peter@pmrklaw.com
COUNSEL TO DIGNITY HEALTH and ITS AFFILIATES	Polsinelli LLP	Attn: Undis M. Weber	One East Washington St., Suite 1200		Phoenix	AZ	85004-2568		602-650-2064		iweber@polsinelli.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
COUNSEL TO DIGNITY HEALTH AND ITS AFFILIATES			2049 Century Park East, Suite 2900		Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-556-1801	310-556-1802	rsoref@polsinelli.com
Claims Agent	Polsinelli LLP	Attn: Randy B. Soref									rsoref@polsinelli.com
		Attn: Herb Baer	830 3rd Ave Fl 9		New York	NY	10022		212-257-5450	212-257-5452	pgatam@PrimeClerk.com
Counsel for Agile Sourcing Partners, Inc.	Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Switch LLP	Attn: Gerald P. Kennedy, Esq.	525 B Street, Suite 2200		San Diego	CA	92101		619-238-1900	619-235-0398	gerald.kennedy@procopio.com
Counsel for Ad Hoc Group of Institutional Par Bondholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Co.	Proskauer Rose LLP	Attn: Martin J. Bienenstock, Brian S. Rosen, Maja Zerjal	Eleven Times Square		New York	NY	10036-8299		212-969-3000	212-969-2900	mbienstock@proskauer.com
Counsel for Ad Hoc Group of Institutional Par Bondholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Co.	Proskauer Rose LLP	Attn: Michael A. Firestein, Lary Alan Rappaport, Steve Y. Ma	2029 Century Park East		Los Angeles	CA	90067-3010		310-557-2900	310-557-2193	lfirestein@proskauer.com
Interested Party Provencher & Platt	Provencher & Platt, LLP	Attn: Douglas B. Provencher	823 Sonoma Avenue	Suite 2400	Santa Rosa	CA	95404		707-284-2380	707-284-2387	sma@proskauer.com
Interested Party Pryor Cashman LLP	Pryor Cashman LLP	Attn: Ronald S. Beachner	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-421-4100	212-326-0806	rbeachner@pryorcashman.com
Counsel for AECOM Technical Services, Inc., Kiefner and Associates, Inc., JAN X-Ray Services, Inc., Counsel for Kieher and Associates, Inc. and JAN X-Ray Services, Inc., AECOM Technical Services, Inc., Counsel for Parsons Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.	Reed Smith LLP	Attn: Christopher O. Rivas, Marsha A. Houston	355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900		Los Angeles	CA	90071-1514		213-457-8000	213-457-8080	crivas@reedsmith.com
Counsel for Creditors Nevada Irrigation District, Counsel for Creditors Lodi Gas Storage, L.L.P. Wild Goose, LLC	Reed Smith LLP	Attn: Jonathan R. Doolittle	101 Second Street	Suite 1800	San Francisco	CA	94105		415-543-8400	415-391-8269	jdoolittle@reedsmith.com
Counsel for Creditors Nevada Irrigation District, Counsel for Creditors Lodi Gas Storage, L.L.P. Wild Goose, LLC	Reed Smith LLP	Attn: Marsha A. Houston	355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900		Los Angeles	CA	90071-1514		213-457-8000	213-457-8080	mhouston@reedsmith.com
Counsel for Creditors Nevada Irrigation District, Counsel for Creditors Lodi Gas Storage, L.L.P. Wild Goose, LLC	Reed Smith LLP	Attn: Monique B. Howery	10 S. Wacker Drive	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60606		312-207-2417	312-207-6400	mhower@reedsmith.com
Counsel for Bank of New York Mellon	Reed Smith LLP	Attn: Peter Munoz	101 Second Street	Suite 1800	San Francisco	CA	94105-3659		415-543-8700	415-391-8269	pmunoz@reedsmith.com
Counsel for Pivot Interiors, Inc.	RIMON, P.C.	Attn: Robert P. Simons	225 Fifth Avenue	Suite 1200	Pittsburgh	PA	15222		412-288-3131	412-288-3063	rsimons@reedsmith.com
Counsel for Pivot Interiors, Inc.	RIMON, P.C.	Attn: Lillian G. Stenfeldt	One Embarcadero Center	Suite 400	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-915-5192	415-683-5472	lillian.stenfeldt@rimonlaw.com
Counsel for Pivot Interiors, Inc.	RIMON, P.C.	Attn: Phillip K. Wang	One Embarcadero Center	Suite 400	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-968-2002		phillip.wang@rimonlaw.com
Special Bankruptcy Counsel for Certain Fire Damage Plaintiffs/Claimants Relating to the North Bay Fire Litigation of October 2017 and the Camp Fire Litigation	Ringstad & Sanders LLP	Attn: Nanette D. Sanders	4343 Von Karman Avenue	Suite 300	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-851-7450	949-851-6926	nanette@ringstadlaw.com
Individual Plaintiffs Executive Committee appointed by the California Superior Court in the North Bay Fire Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number 4955, Pursuant to the terms of the Court's Case Management Order No. 1	Robins Cloud LLP	Attn: Bill Robins, III, Robert Bryson	808 Wilshire Boulevard	Site 450	Santa Monica	CA	90401		310-929-4200	310-566-5900	robins@robinscloud.com
Counsel to Ruckman-Leal Creditors, Counsel to Michael Marroquin	Rodriguez & Associates	Attn: Joseph Whittington, Esq. and Daniel Turek, Esq.	2020 Eye Street		Bakersfield	CA	93301				rbryson@robinscloud.com
CORPORATION, on behalf of itself and certain funds and accounts managed, advised, or sub-advised by it	ROPES & GRAY LLP	Attn: Gregg M. Galaridi, Keith H. Wofford, Daniel G. Egan	1211 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-8704		212-596-9000	212-596-9090	gregg.galaridi@ropesgray.com
Counsel for The Baupost Group L.L.C., as the managing general partner and/or investment manager for certain entities	Ropes & Gray LLP	Attn: Matthew M. Roose, Mark I. Bane	1211 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-8704		212-596-9000	212-596-9090	matthew.roose@ropesgray.com
Counsel for The Baupost Group L.L.C., as the managing general partner and/or investment manager for certain entities	Ropes & Gray LLP	Attn: Peter L. Welsh, Joshua Y. Sturm, & Patricia I. Chen	Prudential Tower	800 Boylston Street	Boston	MA	02199-3600		617-951-7000	617-951-7777	peter.welsh@ropesgray.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for ELLIOTT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, on behalf of itself and certain funds and accounts managed, advised, or sub-advised by it	ROPES & GRAY LLP	Attn: Stephen Moeller-Sally, Matthew L. McGinnis	Prudential Tower, 800 Boylston Street		Boston	MA	02199-3600		617-951-7000	617-951-7777	ssally@ropesgray.com matt.mcginis@ropesgray.com
Counsel for Creditor ARB, INC.	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP	Attn: Roger F. Friedman, Philip J. Blanchard	611 Anton Boulevard	Suite 1400	Costa Mesa	CA	92626-1931		714-641-5100	714-546-9035	rfriedman@rutan.com pblanchard@rutan.com
Counsel for the City and County of San Francisco, including all of its agencies, departments, or instrumentalities	San Francisco City Attorney's Office	Attn: Owen Clements	1390 Market Street	7th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94102		415-554-3944	415-437-4644	Owen Clements@sfcityatt.org Cathryn.Daly@sfcityatt.org
Counsel for International Business Machines Corp.	Satterlee Stephens LLP	Pamela A. Bosswick, Esq.	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	212-818-9606	tblmonte@ssbb.com pbosswick@ssbb.com
COUNSEL TO MARIE VALENZA, BRANDEE GOODRICH, KRISTAL DAVIS-BOLIN, ASHLEY DUTISMAN, BARBARA MORRIS, MARY HAINES	Savage, Lamb & Lunde, PC	ATTN: E. RYAN LAMB	1550 Humboldt Road, Suite 4		CHICO	CA	95928		530-592-3861	530-592-3865	erlamb@lamb.com
Counsel to Compass Levecon, LLC	SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP	Attn: George H. Kalkman	650 California Street	19th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94108-2736		415-364-6734		gkalkman@schnader.com dng@severson.com
Counsel to HDI Global Specialty SE, Munich Re, and Party Liberty Specialty Markets	SEVERSON & WERSON	Attn: Duane M. Geck, Donald H. Cram, Bernard J. Kornberg	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600		San Francisco	CA	94111		415-398-3344	415-956-0439	dgc@severson.com bjk@severson.com
Counsel for Turner Construction Company Case	Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Attn: M. Ryan Pinkston, Christopher J. Harney	560 Mission Street	Suite 3100	San Francisco	CA	94105		415-397-2823	415-397-8549	pinkston@seyfarth.com charney@seyfarth.com
Counsel to Agua Caliente Solar, LLC, Clearway Energy Group LLC, Clearway Energy, Inc., MC Shiloh IV Holdings LLC, NRG Energy, Inc., Solar Partners II LLC, Solar Partners VIII LLC, and TerraForm Power, Inc.	Shearman & Sterling LLP	Attn: C. Luckey McDowell	1100 Louisiana	Suite 3300	Houston	TX	77002		713-354-4875		lucky.mcdowell@shearman.com
Counsel to Agua Caliente Solar, LLC, Clearway Energy Group LLC, Clearway Energy, Inc., MC Shiloh IV Holdings LLC, NRG Energy, Inc., Solar Partners II LLC, Solar Partners VIII LLC, and TerraForm Power, Inc.	Shearman & Sterling LLP	Attn: Daniel Laguardia	535 Mission Street 25th Floor		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-616-1114		daniel.laguardia@shearman.com
Counsel for East Bay Community Energy Authority	Shenanolaw	Attn: David B. Shemano	1801 Century Park East	Suite 1600	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-492-5033		dshemano@shenanolaw.com
Counsel to Ormat Technologies Inc.	Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP	Attn: Michael M. Lauter, Esq.	Four Embarcadero Center	17th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-434-9100	415-434-3047	mlauter@sheppardmullin.com
Counsel to Gartner, Inc.	SHIPMAN & GOODWIN LLP	Attn: ERIC GOLDSTEIN	One Constitution Plaza		Hartford	CT	06103		860-251-5000	860-251-5218	egoldstein@goodwin.com
Attorneys for Cushman & Wakefield, Inc.	Shulman Hodges & Bastian LLP	Attn: Leonard M. Shulman, Melissa Davis Lowe	100 Spectrum Center Drive	Suite 600	Irvine	CA	92618		949-340-3400		lshulman@shblp.com mlowe@shblp.com
Counsel to TURN - The Utility Reform Network	Silicon Valley Law Group	Attn: David V. Duperrault, Kathryn E. Barrett	One North Market Street	Suite 200	San Jose	CA	95113		408-573-5700	408-573-5701	dvd@svlg.com keb@svlg.com
Counsel to the Board of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Certain Current and Former Independent Directors	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP	Attn: Jonathan Sanders	2475 Hanover Street		Palo Alto	CA	94304		650-251-5000	650-252-5002	jsanders@stblaw.com michael.torkin@stblaw.com
Counsel to the Board of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Certain Current and Former Independent Directors	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP	Attn: Michael H. Torkin, Nicholas Goldin, Katharine A. McLendon, Jamie J. Fell	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-455-2000	212-455-2502	ngoldin@stblaw.com kmcledon@stblaw.com
Counsel to SIF Fire Victim Claimants	SINGLETON LAW FIRM, APC	Attn: Gerald Singleton & John C.	450 K Street, 5th Floor		San Diego	CA	92101		619-771-3473	619-235-1315	jamie.fell@stblaw.com gerald@slfirm.com
Counsel to Atlantica Yield plc and Mojave Solar LLC	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	Attn: Amy S. Park	525 University Avenue		Palo Alto	CA	94301		650-470-4500	650-470-4570	amy.park@skadden.com
Counsel to Atlantica Yield plc and Mojave Solar LLC	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	Attn: J. Eric Ivester	Four Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-735-3882	917-777-3882	eric.ivester@skadden.com
Counsel for Creditor and Party-in-Interest	Sonoma Clean Power Authority	Attn: Jessica Mullin, General Counsel	50 Santa Rosa Avenue	Fifth Floor	Santa Rosa	CA	95494		626-302-6789		jmulan@sonomacleanpower.org
Counsel for Southern California Edison Company	Southern California Edison Company	Attn: Julia A. Mosel, Patricia A. Ciucci	2244 Walnut Grove Avenue	3rd Floor	Rosemead	CA	91770		626-302-6789	626-302-6873	julia.mosel@sce.com patricia.ciucci@sce.com
Counsel for Garcia and Associates	St. James Law, P.C.	Attn: Michael St. James	22 Battery Street	Suite 888	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-391-7566	415-391-7568	Ec@stjames-law.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for California Franchise Tax Board	State of California Franchise Tax Board	Attn: Todd M. Bailey	Mail Stop A-260	P.O. Box 1720	Rancho Cordova	CA	95741-1720		916-845-6340	916-845-0241	todd.bailey@ftb.ca.gov
Interested Parties Director of Industrial Relations and Office of Self-Insurance Plans, California Department of Industrial Relations	State of California, Department of Industrial Relations	Attn: John Cumming	OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR	445 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 9516	San Francisco	CA	94102		415-486-2038	510-622-3265	jcumming@dir.ca.gov
Sonoma County Treasurer & Tax Collector	Steckbauer Weinhard, LLP	Attn: Barry S. Glaser	333 S. Hope Street	36th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-229-2868	213-229-2870	bglasser@swsq.com
Individual 2015 Butte Fire Victim Creditor Counsel to Allianz Global Corporate & Specialty	Steve Christopher		PO Box 281		Altaville	CA	95221		209-910-6982		sc2104271@gmail.com
Specialty Counsel to Allianz Global Corporate & Specialty	Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Attn: Constantine D. Pourakis	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-537-0409	610-371-1237	cp@stevenslee.com
Specialty Counsel for Liberty Mutual Life Insurance Company	Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Attn: Leonard P. Goldberger Attn: Ian D. Sokol, Esq., Kevin M. Coles, Esq.	620 Freedom Business Center 2300 SW First Avenue, Suite 200	Suite 200	King of Prussia	PA	19406		610-205-6046	610-371-7376	lpg@stevenslee.com jsokol@lwsl.com kcoles@lwsl.com
Counsel for Tanforan Industrial Park, LLC	STEVEN LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP	Attn: Dana M. Andreoli	235 Pine Street	15th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-421-3400	415-421-2234	dandreoli@steyerlaw.com
Counsel for Tanforan Industrial Park, LLC	STEVEN LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP	Attn: Jeffrey H. Lowenthal	235 Pine Street	15th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-421-3400	415-421-2234	jlowenthal@steyerlaw.com
Counsel for Tanforan Industrial Park, LLC	STEVEN LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP	Attn: Stacey C. Quan Attn: Elizabeth Lee Thompson	235 Pine Street 250 West Main Street	Suite 2300	San Francisco Lexington	CA KY	94104 40507-1758		415-421-3400 859-226-2300	415-421-2234 859-253-9144	squan@steyerlaw.com ethompson@tites.com
Counsel to The Okonite Company Counsel for Gill Ranch Storage, LLC, Counsel for Dynamics, Inc., et al., Counsel for FTP Power LLC, et al. Counsel to Avangrid Renewables, LLC, Klondike Wind Power III LLC, and Shiloh I Wind Project LLC, Enel Green Power North America, Inc., et al., and Enel X, Counsel for Gill Ranch Storage, LLC, Counsel for Dynamics, Inc., et al., Counsel for Power LLC, et al. Counsel to Avangrid Renewables, LLC, Klondike Wind Power III LLC, and Shiloh I Wind Project LLC, Enel Green Power North America, Inc., et al., and Enel X, Counsel for Gill Ranch Storage, LLC, Counsel for Dynamics, Inc., et al., Counsel for Power LLC, et al. Counsel for Gill Ranch Storage, LLC, Counsel for Dynamics, Inc., et al., Counsel for Power LLC, et al.	STOEL RIVES LLP	Attn: David B. Levant	101 S. Capitol Boulevard	Suite 1900	Boise	ID	83702		208-389-9000	208-389-9040	david.levant@stoel.com
Counsel to Avangrid Renewables, LLC, Klondike Wind Power III LLC, and Shiloh I Wind Project LLC, Enel Green Power North America, Inc., et al., and Enel X, Counsel for Gill Ranch Storage, LLC, Counsel for Dynamics, Inc., et al., Counsel for Power LLC, et al.	Stoel Rives LLP	Attn: Gabrielle Glemann	600 University Street, Suite 3600		Seattle	WA	98101		206-624-0900	206-386-7500	gabriele.glemann@stoel.com
Counsel for Gill Ranch Storage, LLC, Counsel for Dynamics, Inc., et al., Counsel for FTP Power LLC, et al.	Stoel Rives LLP	Attn: Oren Buchanan Haker	760 SW Ninth, Suite 3000		Portland	OR	97205		503-294-9338	503-220-2480	oren.haker@stoel.com
Counsel to South San Joaquin Irrigation District	STOEL RIVES LLP STRAHLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH, P.C.	Attn: Sunny S. Sarkis	Sunny S. Sarkis 100 Wilshire Boulevard, 4th Floor	Suite 1600	Sacramento	CA	98514		916-447-0700	916-447-4781	sunny.sarkis@stoel.com
Counsel for Mizuho Bank, Ltd., as DIP Administrative Agent	STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP	ATTN: PAUL R GLASSMAN	2029 Century Park East		Santa Monica	CA	90401		424-214-7000	424-288-5537	pglassman@syrr.com
Counsel for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as DIP Administrative Agent	Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP	Attn: David W. Moon	2029 Century Park East		Los Angeles	CA	90067-3086		310-556-5800	310-556-5959	dmoon@stroock.com
Counsel for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as DIP Administrative Agent	Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP	Attn: Frank A. Merola	2029 Century Park East		Los Angeles	CA	90067-3086		310-556-5800	310-556-5959	fmerola@stroock.com
Counsel for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as DIP Administrative Agent	Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP	Attn: Kristopher M. Hansen, Erez E. Gilad, Matthew G. Garofalo	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038-4982		212-806-5400	212-806-6006	khansen@stroock.com egilad@stroock.com mgarofalo@stroock.com khansen@stroock.com egilad@stroock.com
Counsel for Mizuho Bank, Ltd.	STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP	Attn: Mark A. Speiser, Kenneth Pasquale, Sherry J. Millman, Harold A. Olsen	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038-4982		212-806-5400	212-806-6006	mgarofalo@stroock.com egilad@stroock.com holsen@stroock.com mpeiser@stroock.com kpasquale@stroock.com smillman@stroock.com

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for Creditors	Sutzman, Bromberg, Esserman & Piffka, P.C.	Attn: Sander L. Esserman, Cliff I. Taylor	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 2200	Dallas	TX	5201-2689		214-969-4910		esserman@sbep-law.com taylor@sbep-law.com
Public Entities Impacted by the Wildfires											hill@SullivanHill.com
Counsel to Singleton Law Firm Fire Victim Claimants	Sullivan Hill Rez & Engel Synergy Project Management, Inc.	Attn: James P. Hill, Christopher V. Hawkins, Jonathan S. Dabbieri c/o Law Office of Ivan C. Jen	600 B Street, Suite 1700 1017 Andy Circle		San Diego Sacramento	CA	92101 95838		619-233-4100 415-504-2706	619-231-4372	hawkins@SullivanHill.com dabbieri@SullivanHill.com ivan@clenlaw.com
Counsel for Project Management, Inc. Solutions, LLC, Counsel for Granite Construction Incorporated, BrightView Landscape Services, Inc.											jmillis@taylorench.com
Counsel to Road Safety, Inc.	Taylor English Duma LLP	Attn: John W. Mills, III	1600 Parkwood Circle		Atlanta	GA	30339		770-434-6868	770-434-7376	
Counsel for The Davey Tree Expert Company	The Bankruptcy Group, P.C. The Davey Tree Expert Company	Attn: Stephan Brown and Daniel Griffin Attn: Erika J. Schoenberger, General Counsel	3300 Douglas Blvd. 1500 N. Mantua Street Office of the General Counsel	Ste. 100	Roseville Kent	CA	95661		800-920-5351	916-242-8588	daniel@thebklawoffice.com
Counsel to The Regents of the University of California	The Regents of the University of California	Attn: Rhonda Stewart Goldstein	1111 Franklin Street, 8th Floor		Oakland	CA	94607-5200		510-987-9800	510-987-9757	rhonda.goldstein@ucop.edu allogut@teamtogut.com kortiz@teamtogut.com aoden@teamtogut.com aglaubach@teamtogut.com
Counsel to Compass Lexecon, LLC	TOGUT, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP	Attn: Albert Togut, Kyle J. Ortiz, Amy M. Oden, Amanda C. Glaubach	One Penn Plaza		New York	NY	10119		212-594-5000	212-967-4258	Rich@Trodellalapping.com
Counsel for Valero Refining Company- California	Trodella & Lapping LLP	Attn: Richard A. Lapping	540 Pacific Avenue		San Francisco	CA	94133		415-399-1015	415-651-9004	
Counsel for Consolidated Edison Development Inc., Southern Power Company	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP	Attn: Gabriel Ozel	11682 El Camino Real, Suite 400		San Diego	CA	92130-2092		858-509-6000	858-509-6040	gabriel.ozel@troutman.com
Counsel for Southern Power Company	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP	Attn: Harris B. Winsberg, Esq., Matthew G. Roberts, Esq.	600 Peachtree St. NE		Atlanta	GA	30308		404-885-3348	404-885-3900	harris.winsberg@troutman.com matthew.roberts@troutman.com
Counsel for Consolidated Edison Development Inc.	Troutman Sanders LLP	Attn: Hugh M. McDonald	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-704-6000	212-704-6288	hugh.mcdonald@troutman.com mtoney@turn.org tlong@turn.org
Counsel for TURN - The Utility Reform Network	TURN - The Utility Reform Network	Attn: Mark Toney, Thomas Long	785 Market St		San Francisco	CA	94103				
Interested Party United States on behalf of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Counsel for Interested Party United States on behalf of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice	Attn: Danielle A. Pham	1100 I Street, NW		Washington	DC	20005		202-514-7451	202-514-9163	danielle.pham@usdoj.gov
Counsel to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice	Attn: Danielle A. Pham Attn: Joseph H. Hunt, Ruth A. Harvey, Ruth A. Harvey, Kirk Manhardt, Matthew Troy, Marc S. Sacks, Danielle A. Pham, and Shane Huang	P.O. Box 875 1100 I Street, NW		Washington	DC	20044-0875		202-514-7451	202-514-9163	danielle.pham@usdoj.gov
Counsel to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division	Attn: Joseph H. Hunt, Ruth A. Harvey, Ruth A. Harvey, Kirk Manhardt, Matthew Troy, Marc S. Sacks, Danielle A. Pham, and Shane Huang	Room 7030		Washington	DC	20005		202-616-0341	202-514-9163	shane.huang@usdoj.gov
Counsel to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division	Attn: General Counsel	Ben Franklin Station		Washington	DC	20044-0875		202-616-0341	202-514-9163	shane.huang@usdoj.gov
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Attn: General Counsel	1600 E. Lamar Blvd.		Arlington	TX	76011		817-860-8100		
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Attn: General Counsel			Washington	DC	20555-0001		301-415-7000		bankruptcy@usdoj.gov
Interested Party Counsel to the United States of America, Department of Energy	Union Pacific Railroad Company United States Department of Justice Civil Division	Attn: Tonya W. Conley, Lila L. Howe	1400 Douglas Street	STDP 1580	Omaha	NE	68179		402-544-3015		bankruptcy@usdoj.gov
Counsel to the United States of America, Department of Energy	United States Department of Justice Civil Division	Attn: Matthew J. Troy	1100 I Street, N.W.	Room 10030	Washington	DC	20530				matthew.troy@usdoj.gov
US Securities and Exchange Commission	US Securities and Exchange Commission	Attn: Matthew J. Troy	P.O. Box 875	Ben Franklin Station	Washington	DC	20044-0875		202-514-9038		matthew.troy@usdoj.gov
US Securities and Exchange Commission	US Securities and Exchange Commission	Attn: Jina Choi, Regional Director	San Francisco Regional Office	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-705-2500		sanfrancisco@sec.gov
US Securities and Exchange Commission	US Securities and Exchange Commission	Attn: Office of General Counsel	100 F St. NE MS 60418		Washington	DC	20549		202-551-5100		secbankruptcy@sec.gov

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel to Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico	WAGSTAFFE, VON LOEWENFELDT, BUSCH & RADWICK, LLP	Attn: James M. Wagstaffe & Frank Busch	100 Pine Street	Suite 725	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-357-8900	415-371-0500	wagstaffe@vwbrow.com busch@vwbrow.com
Individual Plaintiffs Executive Committee appointed by the California Superior Court in the North Bay Fire Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number 4955, Pursuant to the terms of the Court's Case Management Order No. 1	Walkup Melodia Kelly & Schoenberger Walker Wilhelm Law Group a Professional Corporation	Attn: Michael A. Kelly, Khalidoun A. Baghdadi, Max Schuber Attn: Riley C. Walter, Michael L. Wilhelm	650 California Street	26th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94108		415-981-7210	415-391-6956	mkelly@walkuplawoffice.com kbaghdadi@walkuplawoffice.com mschuber@walkuplawoffice.com rileywalter@WZLG.com
Counsel for Aera Energy LLC, Midway Sunset Congeneration Company	Well, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Attn: Stephen Karotkin, Jessica Liou, Matthew Goren	205 E. River Park Circle	Suite 410	Fresno	CA	93720		212-310-8000	559-435-9868	MWilhelm@WZLG.com stephen.karotkin@well.com matthew.goren@well.com jessica.liou@well.com
Counsel to Debtor	Weinberg Roger & Rosenfeld	Attn: Emily P. Rich	1001 Marina Village Parkway	Suite 200	Alameda	CA	94501-1091		510-337-1001	510-337-1023	bankruptcy@robertrosenfeld.com erich@unioncounsel.net tmainguy@unioncounsel.net cgray@unioncounsel.net
Counsel for Engineers and Scientists of California, Local 20, IPTE, Counsel for SEIU United Service Workers - West	White & Case LLP	Attn: J. Christopher Shore	1221 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020-1095		212-819-8200	212-354-8113	shore@whitecase.com
Counsel for Sempra Energy, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company	White & Case LLP	Attn: Roberto J. Kampfner	555 South Flower Street	Suite 2700	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-7700	213-452-2329	kampfner@whitecase.com
Counsel for Sempra Energy, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company	White & Case LLP	Attn: Thomas E. Lauria, Matthew C. Brown	200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 4900		Miami	FL	33131-2352		305-371-2700	305-385-5744	tlauria@whitecase.com mbrown@whitecase.com
Counsel for Ballard Marine Construction, Inc.	Williams Kastner	Attn: Todd W. Blischke	601 Union Street	Suite 4100	Seattle	WA	98101-2380		206-628-6623		TBlischke@williamskastner.com mfeldman@willkie.com jminias@willkie.com dfornan@willkie.com
Counsel for Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders	Wilkie Farr & Gallagher LLP WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR LLP	Attn: Matthew A. Feldman, Joseph G. Minias, Daniel I. Forman Attn: Chris Johnstone	787 Seventh Avenue		New York	NY	10019-6099		212-728-8000	212-728-8111	CHRIS.JOHNSTONE@WILMERHALE.COM
Interested Party ICE NGX Canada Inc.	Winston & Strawn LLP	Attn: David Neier	950 PAGE MILL ROAD		PALO ALTO	CA	94304		212-294-6700	212-294-4700	dneier@winston.com
Counsel for Macquarie Energy LLC, Counsel for California Efficiency + Demand Management Council, Counsel for Cypress Energy Partners, L.P., Tulsa Inspection Resources - PUC, LLC, Tulsa Inspection Resources - PUC, LLC, CF Inspection Management, LLC, and Cypress Energy Management - TIR, LLC, Counsel for Peninsula Clean Energy Authority	Winston & Strawn LLP	Attn: Justin E. Rawlins Attn: Michael A. Yuffee	333 S. Grand Avenue	38th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071-1543		213-615-1700	213-615-1750	jrawlins@winston.com myuffee@winston.com
Counsel for Hoffman Southwest Corp.	WINTHROP COUGHOT	Attn: Richard H. Golubow	1700 K Street, N.W.	Suite 500	Washington	DC	20006-3817		202-282-5000	202-282-5100	rgolubow@wvghlaw.com
Liberty Mutual Life Insurance Company	Wolkin Curran, LLP	Attn: James D. Curran, Esq.	111 Maiden Lane, 6th Floor		San Francisco	CA	94108		415-982-9390	415-982-4328	jcurran@wolkincurran.com
Counsel for Ballard Marine Construction, Inc.	Worley Law, P.C.	Attn: Kirsten A. Worley	1572 Second Avenue		San Diego	CA	92101		619-550-1004		kw@wlawcorp.com

Page 12 of 14

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel for Creditors	Stutzman, Bromberg, Esserman & Pflka, P.C.	Attn: Sander L. Esserman, Cliff I. Taylor	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 2200	Dallas	TX	5201-2689		214-969-4910		esserman@bep-law.com taylor@bep-law.com
Public Entities Impacted by the Wildfires											hill@SullivanHill.com
Counsel to Singleton Law Firm Fire Victim Claimants	Sullivan Hill Rez & Engel Synergy Project Management, Inc.	Attn: James P. Hill, Christopher V. Hawkins, Jonathan S. Dabbieri	600 B Street, Suite 1700		San Diego	CA	92101		619-233-4100	619-231-4372	hawkins@SullivanHill.com dabbieri@SullivanHill.com
Counsel for Project Management, Inc.		c/o Law Office of Ivan C. Jen	1017 Andy Circle		Sacramento	CA	95838		415-504-2706		ivan@cjelaw.com
Counsel for BrightView Enterprise Solutions, LLC, Counsel for Granite Construction Incorporated, BrightView Landscape Services, Inc.											
Counsel to Road Safety, Inc.	Taylor English Duma LLP	Attn: John W. Mills, III	1600 Parkwood Circle	Suite 200	Atlanta	GA	30339		770-434-6868	770-434-7376	jlmills@taylorenglish.com
Counsel for The Davey Tree Expert Company	The Bankruptcy Group, P.C.	Attn: Stephan Brown and Daniel Griffin	3300 Douglas Blvd.	Ste. 100	Roseville	CA	95661		800-920-5351	916-242-8588	daniel@thebklawoffice.com
Counsel to The Regents of the University of California	The Davey Tree Expert Company The Regents of the University of California	Attn: Erika J. Schoenberger, General Counsel	1500 N. Mantua Street Office of the General Counsel	1111 Franklin Street, 8th Floor	Kent	OH	44240		330-673-9511 Ext 8549		Erika.Schoenberger@davey.com
Counsel to Compass Lexecon, LLC	TOGUT, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP	Attn: Albert Togut, Kyle J. Ortiz, Amy M. Oden, Amanda C. Glaubach	One Penn Plaza		New York	NY	10119		510-987-9800	510-987-9757	rhonda.goldstein@ucop.edu altogut@teamtogut.com
Counsel for Valero Refining Company	Trodella & Lapping LLP	Attn: Richard A. Lapping	540 Pacific Avenue		San Francisco	CA	94133		212-594-5000	212-967-4258	kortiz@teamtogut.com aoden@teamtogut.com
Counsel for Consolidated Edison Company	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP	Attn: Gabriel Orzel	11682 El Camino Real, Suite 400		San Diego	CA	92130-2092		415-399-1015	415-651-9004	Rich@TrodellaLapping.com
Counsel for Southern Power Company	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP	Attn: Harris B. Winsberg, Esq., Matthew G. Roberts, Esq.	600 Peachtree St. NE	Suite 3000	Atlanta	GA	30308		858-509-6000	858-509-6040	gabriel.ozel@troutman.com harris.winsberg@troutman.com
Counsel for Consolidated Edison	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP	Attn: Hugh M. McDonald	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		404-885-3348	404-885-3900	matthew.roberts@troutman.com
Counsel for TURN - The Utility Reform Network	TURN - The Utility Reform Network	Attn: Mark Toney, Thomas Long	785 Market St	Suite 1400	San Francisco	CA	94103		212-704-6000	212-704-6288	hugh.mcdonald@troutman.com mtoney@turn.org tlong@turn.org
Interested Party United States on behalf of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice	Attn: Danielle A. Pham	1100 L Street, NW	Room 7106	Washington	DC	20005		202-514-7451	202-514-9163	danielle.pham@usdoj.gov
Counsel for Interested Party United States on behalf of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice	Attn: Danielle A. Pham	P.O. Box 875	Ben Franklin Station	Washington	DC	20044-0875		202-514-7451	202-514-9163	danielle.pham@usdoj.gov
Counsel to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division	Attn: Joseph H. Hunt, Ruth A. Harvey, Ruth A. Harvey, Kirk Manhardt, Matthew Troy, Marc S. Sacks, Danielle A. Pham, and Shane Huang	1100 L Street, NW	Room 7030	Washington	DC	20005		202-616-0341	202-514-9163	shane.huang@usdoj.gov
Counsel to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division	Attn: Joseph H. Hunt, Ruth A. Harvey, Ruth A. Harvey, Kirk Manhardt, Matthew Troy, Marc S. Sacks, Danielle A. Pham, and Shane Huang	P.O. Box 875	Ben Franklin Station	Washington	DC	20044-0875		202-616-0341	202-514-9163	shane.huang@usdoj.gov
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Attn: General Counsel	U.S. NRC Region IV	1600 E. Lamar Blvd.	Arlington	TX	76011		817-860-8100		
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Attn: General Counsel			Washington	DC	20555-0001		301-415-7000		
Interested Party	Union Pacific Railroad Company	Attn: Tonya W. Conley, Lila L. Howe	1400 Douglas Street	STOP 1580	Omaha	NE	68179		402-544-3015		bankruptcy@up.com
Counsel to the United States of America, Department of Energy	United States Department of Justice Civil Division	Attn: Matthew J. Troy	1100 L Street, N.W.	Room 10030	Washington	DC	20530		202-514-9038		matthew.troy@usdoj.gov
Counsel to the United States of America, Department of Energy	Justice Civil Division	Attn: Matthew J. Troy	P.O. Box 875	Ben Franklin Station	Washington	DC	20044-0875		415-705-2500		sanfrancisco@sec.gov
US Securities and Exchange Commission	US Securities and Exchange Commission	Attn: Jina Choi, Regional Director	San Francisco Regional Office	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800	San Francisco	CA	94104		202-551-5100		secbankruptcy@sec.gov
US Securities and Exchange Commission	US Securities and Exchange Commission	Attn: Office of General Counsel	100 F St. NE MS 6041B		Washington	DC	20549				

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Counsel to Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico	WAGSTAFFE, VON LOEWENFELDT, BUSCH & RADWICK, LLP	Attn: James M. Wagstaffe & Frank Busch	100 Pine Street	Suite 725	San Francisco	CA	94111		415-357-8900	415-371-0500	wagstaffe@wvblaw.com busch@wvblaw.com
Individual Plaintiffs Executive Committee appointed by the California Superior Court in the North Bay Fire Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number 4955, Pursuant to the terms of the Court's Case Management Order No. 1	Walkup Melodia Kelly & Schoenberger Walker Wilhelm Law Group a Professional Corporation	Attn: Michael A. Kelly, Khaldoun A. Baghdadi, Max Schuber Attn: Riley C. Walker, Michael L. Wilhelm	650 California Street 205 E. River Park Circle	26th Floor Suite 410	San Francisco Fresno	CA	94108 93720		415-981-7210	415-391-6956 559-435-9868	mkelly@walkuplawoffice.com kbaghdadi@walkuplawoffice.com mschuber@walkuplawoffice.com rileywalter@WJLG.com MWilhelm@WJLG.com
Counsel to Debtor	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Attn: Stephen Karotkin, Jessica Liou, Matthew Goren	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153-0119		212-310-8000	212-310-8007	stephen.karotkin@weil.com matthew.goren@weil.com jessica.liou@weil.com
Counsel for Engineers and Scientists of California, Local 20, IPTE, Counsel for SEIU United Service Workers - West	Weinberg Roger & Rosenfeld	Attn: Emily P. Rich	1001 Marina Village Parkway	Suite 200	Alameda	CA	94501-1091		510-337-1001	510-337-1023	bankruptcycourtnotices@unioncounsel.net erich@unioncounsel.net tmainguy@unioncounsel.net cgray@unioncounsel.net
Counsel for Sempra Energy, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company	White & Case LLP	Attn: J. Christopher Shore	1221 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020-1095		212-819-8200	212-354-8113	cshore@whitecase.com
Counsel for Sempra Energy, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company	White & Case LLP	Attn: Roberto J. Kamphner	555 South Flower Street	Suite 2700	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-7700	213-452-2329	rkamphner@whitecase.com
Counsel for Ballard Marine Construction, Inc.	Williams Kastner	Attn: Todd W. Blischke	601 Union Street	Suite 4100	Seattle	WA	98101-2380		206-628-6623	305-385-5744	tblischke@williamskastner.com
Counsel for Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR LLP	Attn: Matthew A. Feldman, Joseph G. Minas, Daniel I. Forman Attn: Chris Johnstone	787 Seventh Avenue 950 PAGE MILL ROAD		New York PALO ALTO	NY CA	10019-6099 94304		212-728-8000	212-728-8111	mfeldman@willkie.com jminas@willkie.com dforman@willkie.com CHRIS.JOHNSTONE@WILMERHALE.COM
Counsel for Macquarie Energy LLC, Counsel for California Efficiency + Demand Management Council, Counsel for Cypress Energy Partners, L.P., Tulsa Inspection Energy Partners, L.P., Tulsa Inspection Resources - PUC, LLC, Tulsa Inspection Resources, LLC, CF Inspection Management, LLC, and Cypress Energy Management - TIR, LLC, Counsel for Peninsula Clean Energy Authority	Winston & Strawn LLP	Attn: David Neier	200 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10166-4193		212-294-6700	212-294-4700	dneier@winston.com
Counsel for Macquarie Energy LLC, Counsel for Hoffman Southwest Corp., Tulsa Professional Pipeline Services, Counsel for Liberty Mutual Life Insurance Company	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Winston & Strawn LLP WINTHROP COUCHOT GOLUBOW HOLLANDER, LLP Wolkin Curran, LLP	Attn: Justin E. Rawlins Attn: Michael A. Yuffee Attn: Richard H. Golubow Attn: James D. Curran, Esq.	333 S. Grand Avenue 1700 K Street, N.W. 1301 Dove Street 111 Maiden Lane, 6th Floor	38th Floor Suite 500	Los Angeles Washington Newport Beach San Francisco	CA DC CA CA	90071-1543 20006-3817 92660 94108		213-615-1700 202-282-5000 949-720-4100 415-982-9390	213-615-1750 202-282-5100 949-720-4111 415-982-4328	jrawlins@winston.com myuffee@winston.com rgolubow@wghlaw.com jcurran@wolkincurran.com
Counsel for Ballard Marine Construction, Inc.	Worley Law, P.C.	Attn: Kirsten A. Worley	1572 Second Avenue		San Diego	CA	92101		619-550-1004		kw@wlawcorp.com

Exhibit B

Exhibit B – Service List by Mail Only

DESCRIPTION	NAME	ADDRESS
Debtors	PG&E Corporation	Attn: Janet Loduca, Esq. c/o PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 770000 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105
Proposed Attorneys for Debtors	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Attn: Stephen Karotkin, Esq., Jessica Liou, Esq., and Matthew Goren, Esq. 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153
Proposed Attorneys for Debtors	Keller & Benvenutti LLP	Attn: Tobias Keller, Esq. and Jane Kim, Esq. 650 California Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94108
Counsel to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	Attn: David P. Simmons 1999 Avenue of the Stars Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90067
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Attn: General Counsel 888 First St NE Washington DC 20426
Counsel for City and County of San Francisco, including all of its agencies, departments, or instrumentalities	Greene Radovsky Maloney Share & Hennigh LLP	Attn: Edward J. Tredinnick Four Embarcadero Center Suite 4000 San Francisco CA 94111-4106
Counsel for DTE Stockton, LLC, Mt. Poso Congeneration Company, LLC f/k/a Mt. Poso Congeneration Company, L.P., Potrero Hills Energy Producers, LLC, Sunshine Gas Producers, LLC Woodland Biomass Power, LLC f/k/a Woodland Biomass Power Ltd.	Greene Radovsky Maloney Share & Hennigh LLP	Attn: Kevin S. Eckhardt 50 California Street Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94111
Internal Revenue Service	Internal Revenue Service	Centralized Insolvency Operation 2970 Market St Philadelphia PA 19104-5016
Interested Party John A. Vos	John A. Vos	1430 Lincoln Avenue San Rafael, CA 94901

Exhibit B – Service List by Mail Only

DESCRIPTION	NAME	ADDRESS
Counsel for Philip Verwey d/b/a Philip Verwey Farms	McCormick Barstow LLP	Attn: H. Annie Duong Counsel for Philip Verwey d/b/a Philip Verwey Farms 7647 North Fresno Street Fresno CA 93720
Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California	Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California	Attn: Bankruptcy Unit Federal Courthouse 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco CA 94102
Interested Party Placer County Office of the Treasurer-Tax Collector	Placer County Office of the Treasurer-Tax Collector	Attn: Robert Kanngiesser 2976 Richardson Drive Auburn CA 95603
Counsel for City and County of San Francisco, including all of its agencies, departments, or instrumentalities	San Francisco City Attorney's Office	Attn: Owen Clements 1390 Market Street 7th Floor San Francisco CA 94102
U.S. Bankruptcy Court Northern District of CA	U.S. Bankruptcy Court Northern District of CA	Attn: Honorable Dennis Montali PG&E Corp. Chambers Copy 450 Golden Gate Ave, 18th Floor San Francisco CA 94102
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Attn: General Counsel U.S. NRC Region IV 1600 E. Lamar Blvd. Arlington TX 76011
Nuclear Regulatory Commission		Attn: General Counsel Washington, DC 20555-0001
Counsel for the United States Department of Energy	United States Department of Justice – Civil Division	Attn: Matthew J. Troy 1100 L Street, N.W. Room 10030 Washington, DC 20530
Counsel for the United States Department of Energy	United States Department of Justice – Civil Division	Attn: Danielle A. Pham 1100 L Street, N.W. Room 10030 Washington, DC 20530
United States Department of Justice – Civil Division		Attn: Danielle A. Pham, Esq. 1100 L Street, NW Room 7106 Washington DC 20005

Exhibit B – Service List by Mail Only

DESCRIPTION	NAME	ADDRESS
Interested Party	Union Pacific Railroad Company	Attn: Tonya W. Conley, Lila L. Howe 1400 Douglas Street STOP 1580 Omaha, NE 68179
Counsel for Ballard Marin Construction, Inc.	William Kastner	Attn: Todd W. Blischke 601 Union Street Suite 4100 Seattle, WA 98101-2380
Counsel for Official Committee of Tort Claimants	Baker& Hostetler, LLP	Attn: Eric Sagerman, Esq. and Cecily Dumas, Esq. 11601 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509
Counsel for Official Committee of Tort Claimants	Baker& Hostetler, LLP	Attn: Robert A. Julian, Cecily A. Dumas 1160 Battery Street Suite 100 San Francisco, CA 94111
	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	Attn: Andrew D. Yaphe 55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001-2163
Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Milbank LLP	Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Samuel A. Khalil 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor Los Angeles CA 90067
Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Milbank LLP	Attn: Paul S. Aronzon, Esq., Gregory A. Bray, Esq., and Thomas R. Kreller, Esq. 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067
Office of the United States Trustee	Office of the United States Trustee	Attn: James L. Snyder, Esq. & Timothy Lafreddi, Esq., Marta E. Villacorta 450 Golden Gate Ave Suite 05-0153 San Francisco CA 94102
Administrative Agent Under the Debtors' Debtor-in- Possession Financing Facility	Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP	Attn: Kristopher M. Hansen, Esq., Erez E. Gilad, Esq., and Matthew G. Garofalo, Esq. 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038-4982
Counsel for the collateral Agent Under the Debtors' Debtor-in-Possession Financing Facility	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	Attn: Eli J. Vonnegut, Esq., David Schiff, Esq., and Timothy Graulich, Esq. 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017

Exhibit B – Service List by Mail Only

DESCRIPTION	NAME	ADDRESS
Counsel to the California Public Utilities Commission	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP	Attn: Alan W. Kornberg, Esq., Brian S. Hermann, Esq., Walter R. Rieman, Esq., Sean A. Mitchell, Esq., and Neal P. Donnelly, Esq. 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064